

Carleman estimates for forward and backward stochastic fourth order Schrödinger equations and their applications

Peng Gao

School of Mathematics and Statistics, and Center for Mathematics
and Interdisciplinary Sciences, Northeast Normal University,
Changchun 130024, P. R. China

Email: gaopengjilindaxue@126.com

Abstract

In this paper, we establish the Carleman estimates for forward and backward stochastic fourth order Schrödinger equations, on basis of which, we can obtain the observability, unique continuation property and the exact controllability for the forward and backward stochastic fourth order Schrödinger equations.

Keywords: stochastic fourth order Schrödinger equation, Carleman estimate, observability, unique continuation property, exact controllability

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 35Q40, 60H15, 93B05, 93B07

1 Introduction

The fourth order Schrödinger equation reads as

$$iy_t + y_{xxxx} = 0.$$

This equation arises in quantum mechanics, nonlinear optics, and plasma physics, and its general nonlinear form

$$iy_t + \frac{1}{2}y_{xx} + y_{xxxx} + |y|^{2p}y = 0$$

has been introduced in [13, 14] to take into account the role of small fourth order dispersion terms in the propagation of intense laser beams in a bulk medium with Kerr nonlinearity, where $p \geq 1$ is an integer number. The existence and uniqueness of the solution have been studied intensively from the mathematical perspective; see [11, 12, 22, 23] and the references therein. Similar to its deterministic counterpart, the stochastic fourth order Schrödinger equation plays an important role in quantum mechanics.

The main purpose of this paper is to establish Carleman estimates for forward and backward stochastic fourth order Schrödinger equations. Carleman estimate is an L^2 -weighted estimate with large parameter for a solution to a partial differential equation (PDE). Carleman estimate was first established by Carleman [5] for a two-dimensional elliptic equation. It is an important tool for the study of unique continuation property, stabilization, controllability and inverse problems for PDEs. Although there are numerous results for the Carleman estimate for deterministic PDEs, very little is known about the corresponding stochastic situation. The Carleman estimates for stochastic heat equation, stochastic wave equation, stochastic Korteweg-de Vries equation, stochastic Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation, stochastic Kawahara equation and stochastic second order Schrödinger equation were completed (see, for instance, [18, 29, 19, 1, 7, 8, 9, 26]). But nothing is known for stochastic fourth order Schrödinger equation. To the knowledge of the authors, the Carleman estimates in this paper are new, it is the first attempt for forward

and backward stochastic fourth order Schrödinger equation. The Carleman estimate for deterministic fourth order Schrödinger equation has been established in [30].

Through this paper, we make the following assumptions:

(H1) Let $T > 0, I = (0, 1)$ and I_0 be a nonempty open subset of I . Set $Q = I \times (0, T)$ and $Q^{I_0} = I_0 \times (0, T)$.

(H2) Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, P)$ be a complete filtered probability space on which a one-dimensional standard Brownian motion $\{w(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is defined such that $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the natural filtration generated by $w(\cdot)$, augmented by all the P -null sets in $\mathcal{F}$. Let H be a Banach space, and let $C([0, T]; H)$ be the Banach space of all H -valued strongly continuous functions defined on $[0, T]$. We denote by $L_{\mathcal{F}}^p(0, T; H)$ ($1 \leq p < +\infty$) the Banach space consisting of all H -valued $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted processes $X(\cdot)$ such that $E(\|X(\cdot)\|_{L^p(0, T; H)}^p) < \infty$; by $L_{\mathcal{F}}^\infty(0, T; H)$ the Banach space consisting of all H -valued $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted bounded processes; by $L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$ the Banach space consisting of all H -valued $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted continuous processes $X(\cdot)$ such that $E(\|X(\cdot)\|_{C([0, T]; H)}^2) < \infty$; and by $C_{\mathcal{F}}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; H))$ the Banach space consisting of all H -valued $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted processes $X(\cdot)$ such that $\|X(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega; H)} \in C([0, T])$. All the above spaces are endowed with the canonical norm.

(H3) We denote by $L^2(I)$ the space of all Lebesgue square integrable complex-valued functions on I . The inner product on $L^2(I)$ is

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_I u \bar{v} dx,$$

for any $u, v \in L^2(I)$, where $\bar{\bullet}$ denotes the conjugate of $\bullet$. The norm on $L^2(I)$ is

$$\|u\|_{L^2(I)} = \langle u, u \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for any $u \in L^2(I)$.

$H^s(I)$ ($s \geq 0$) are the classical Sobolev spaces of complex-valued functions on I . The definition of $H^s(I)$ can be found in [20].

For $0 \leq s \leq 4$, the s -compatibility conditions are following:

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(0) = \varphi(1) = 0 & \text{when } \frac{1}{2} < s \leq \frac{3}{2}, \\ \varphi(0) = \varphi(1) = \varphi'(0) = \varphi'(1) = 0 & \text{when } \frac{3}{2} < s \leq 4. \end{cases}$$

Set

$$X_s = \{\varphi \in H^s(I) \mid \varphi \text{ satisfies the } s\text{-compatibility conditions}\},$$

the norms on X_s is defined by $\|\varphi\|_{X_s} \triangleq \|\varphi\|_{H^s(I)}$, for any $\varphi \in X_s$.

The space X'_s denotes the dual space of X_s with respect to the space $L^2(I)$, the $(\cdot, \cdot)_{X'_s, X_s}$ denotes the duality pairing between X'_s and X_s .

(H4) Let $\hat{\psi} \in C^\infty(\bar{I})$ satisfy that $\hat{\psi} > 0$ in I , $\hat{\psi}(0) = \hat{\psi}(1) = 0$, $\|\hat{\psi}\|_{C(\bar{I})} = 1$, $|\hat{\psi}_x| > 0$ in $\bar{I} \setminus I_0$, $\hat{\psi}_x(0) > 0$ and $\hat{\psi}_x(1) < 0$. For any given positive constants λ and μ , we set $\hat{a}(x, t) = \frac{e^{\mu(\hat{\psi}(x)+3)} - e^{5\mu}}{t(T-t)}$, $\hat{l} = \lambda \hat{a}$, $\hat{\theta} = e^{\hat{l}}$ and $\hat{\varphi}(x, t) = \frac{e^{\mu(\hat{\psi}(x)+3)}}{t(T-t)}$, $\forall (x, t) \in Q$.

Let $\tilde{\psi}(x) = (x - x_0)^2 + \delta_0$, where δ_0 is a positive constant such that $\tilde{\psi} \geq \frac{3}{4} \|\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(I)}$ and $x_0 > 1$. For any given positive constants λ and μ , we set $\tilde{a}(x, t) = \frac{e^{\mu\tilde{\psi}(x)} - e^{\frac{3}{4}\|\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(I)}\mu}}{t(T-t)}$, $\tilde{l} = \lambda \tilde{a}$, $\tilde{\theta} = e^{\tilde{l}}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(x, t) = \frac{e^{\mu\tilde{\psi}(x)}}{t(T-t)}$, $\forall (x, t) \in Q$.

(H5) Unless otherwise stated, C stands for a generic positive constant whose value can change from line to line. Whenever necessary, the dependence of a constant C on some parameters, say “.”, will be written by $C(\cdot)$.

(H6) $a, b \in L_{\mathcal{F}}^\infty(0, T; W^{4,\infty}(I))$.

1.1 Carleman estimates for a forward stochastic fourth order Schrödinger equation and their applications

In this section, we consider the following system

$$\begin{cases} idy + y_{xxxx}dt = fdt + gdw & \text{in } Q, \\ y(0, t) = 0 = y(1, t) & \text{in } (0, T), \\ y_x(0, t) = 0 = y_x(1, t) & \text{in } (0, T), \\ y(x, 0) = y_0(x) & \text{in } I. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

First, we establish the following global Carleman estimate.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $y_0 \in X_3$ and $f, g \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_3)$ be given. There exist λ_0, μ_0 and C such that for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0, \mu \geq \mu_0$ and any solution y of (1.1), it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_Q (\lambda \widehat{\varphi} \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_{xxx}|^2 + \lambda^3 \widehat{\varphi}^3 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_{xx}|^2 + \lambda^5 \widehat{\varphi}^5 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_x|^2 + \lambda^7 \widehat{\varphi}^7 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq C \left[E \int_{Q^{I_0}} (\lambda \widehat{\varphi} \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_{xxx}|^2 + \lambda^7 \widehat{\varphi}^7 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y|^2) dx dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + E \int_Q (\lambda^4 \widehat{\varphi}^4 \widehat{\theta}^2 |g|^2 + \lambda^2 \widehat{\varphi}^2 \widehat{\theta}^2 |g_x|^2 + \widehat{\theta}^2 |g_{xx}|^2 + \widehat{\theta}^2 |f|^2) dx dt \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

We give some applications of Theorem 1.1. First, we can obtain the following observability inequality.

Corollary 1.1. *Let $y_0 \in X_3, f, g \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_3)$ and y be the solution of*

$$\begin{cases} idy + y_{xxxx}dt = (ay + f)dt + (by + g)dw & \text{in } Q, \\ y(0, t) = 0 = y(1, t) & \text{in } (0, T), \\ y_x(0, t) = 0 = y_x(1, t) & \text{in } (0, T), \\ y(x, 0) = y_0(x) & \text{in } I, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_0\|_{X_3} & \leq C \left[\|y\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; L^2(I_0))} + \|y_{xxx}\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; L^2(I_0))} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|f\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_3)} + \|g\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_3)} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where $C = C(a, b, T)$.

Remark 1.1. *Note that the observability inequality (1.4) can be applied to the state observation problems for semilinear stochastic fourth order Schrödinger equation. This is similar to Section 6 in [17].*

Also, we can obtain the following unique continuation property.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $y_0 \in X_3, y$ is the solution of*

$$\begin{cases} idy + y_{xxxx}dt = aydt + bydw & \text{in } Q, \\ y(0, t) = 0 = y(1, t) & \text{in } (0, T), \\ y_x(0, t) = 0 = y_x(1, t) & \text{in } (0, T), \\ y(x, 0) = y_0(x) & \text{in } I. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

If

$$y \equiv 0 \quad \text{in } Q^{I_0}, \quad P - \text{a.s.},$$

we have $y \equiv 0$ in Q , P -a.s.

Remark 1.2. *The classical Holmgren Uniqueness Theorem does not work for stochastic PDEs.*

Next, we establish another type of global Carleman estimate.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $y_0 \in X_3$ and $f, g \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_3)$ be given. There exist λ_0, μ_0 and C such that for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0, \mu \geq \mu_0$ and any solution y of (1.1), it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_Q (\lambda \tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\theta}^2 |y_{xxx}|^2 + \lambda^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3 \tilde{\theta}^2 |y_{xx}|^2 + \lambda^5 \tilde{\varphi}^5 \tilde{\theta}^2 |y_x|^2 + \lambda^7 \tilde{\varphi}^7 \tilde{\theta}^2 |y|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq C \left[E \int_0^T (\lambda \tilde{\varphi}(0, t) \tilde{\theta}^2(0, t) |y_{xxx}(0, t)|^2 + \lambda^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3(0, t) \tilde{\theta}^2(0, t) |y_{xx}(0, t)|^2) dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + E \int_Q (\lambda^4 \tilde{\varphi}^4 \tilde{\theta}^2 |g|^2 + \lambda^2 \tilde{\varphi}^2 \tilde{\theta}^2 |g_x|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |g_{xx}|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |f|^2) dx dt \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

Remark 1.3. *It follows from hidden regularity property (Proposition 2.3) that $y_{xx}(0, \cdot), y_{xx}(1, \cdot), y_{xxx}(0, \cdot), y_{xxx}(1, \cdot) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T))$, thus the right-hand side of (1.6) makes sense.*

Now, we give two applications of Theorem 1.2.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $y_0 \in X_3, f, g \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_3)$ and y be the solution of (1.3), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_0\|_{X_3} & \leq C \left[\|y_{xx}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T))} + \|y_{xxx}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T))} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|f\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_3)} + \|g\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_3)} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

where $C = C(a, b, T)$.

Corollary 1.4. *Let $y_0 \in X_3$ and y be the solution of (1.5). If*

$$y_{xx}(0, t) = y_{xxx}(0, t) \equiv 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \quad P - \text{a.s.},$$

we have $y \equiv 0$ in Q , $P - \text{a.s.}$

1.2 Carleman estimate for a backward stochastic fourth order Schrödinger equation and its applications

In this section, we first consider the backward stochastic fourth order Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} idz + z_{xxxx} dt = h dt + Z dw & \text{in } Q, \\ z(0, t) = 0 = z(1, t) & \text{in } (0, T), \\ z_x(0, t) = 0 = z_x(1, t) & \text{in } (0, T), \\ z(x, T) = z_T(x) & \text{in } I. \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

By the same method in Proof of Theorem 1.2, we can obtain

Theorem 1.3. *Let $z_T \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; X_3)$ and $h \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_3)$ be given. There exist λ_0, μ_0 and C such that for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0, \mu \geq \mu_0$ and any solution (z, Z) of (1.8), it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_Q (\lambda \tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\theta}^2 |z_{xxxx}|^2 + \lambda^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3 \tilde{\theta}^2 |z_{xxx}|^2 + \lambda^5 \tilde{\varphi}^5 \tilde{\theta}^2 |z_{xx}|^2 + \lambda^7 \tilde{\varphi}^7 \tilde{\theta}^2 |z_x|^2 + \lambda^9 \tilde{\varphi}^9 \tilde{\theta}^2 |z|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq C \left[E \int_0^T (\lambda \tilde{\varphi}(0, t) \tilde{\theta}^2(0, t) |z_{xxxx}(0, t)|^2 + \lambda^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3(0, t) \tilde{\theta}^2(0, t) |z_{xxx}(0, t)|^2) dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + E \int_Q (\lambda^4 \tilde{\varphi}^4 \tilde{\theta}^2 |Z|^2 + \lambda^2 \tilde{\varphi}^2 \tilde{\theta}^2 |Z_x|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |Z_{xx}|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |h|^2) dx dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the exact controllability of the following system:

$$\begin{cases} idy + y_{xxxx} dt = (ay + f) dt + (by + g) dw & \text{in } Q, \\ y(0, t) = u_1(t), y(1, t) = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ y_x(0, t) = u_2(t), y_x(1, t) = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ y(x, 0) = y_0(x) & \text{in } I. \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

Definition 1.1. System (1.9) is said to be exactly controllable at time T if for every initial state $y_0 \in X'_3$ and every $y_1 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; X'_3)$, one can find controls

$$(u_1, u_2, g) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T)) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T)) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X'_3)$$

such that the solution of the system (1.9) satisfies that $y(T) = y_1$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; X'_3)$.

In order to establish the exactly controllability of (1.9), we introduce the dual system of (1.9)

$$\begin{cases} idz + z_{xxxx}dt = (\bar{a}z - i\bar{b}Z)dt + Zdw & \text{in } Q, \\ z(0, t) = 0 = z(1, t) & \text{in } (0, T), \\ z_x(0, t) = 0 = z_x(1, t) & \text{in } (0, T), \\ z(x, T) = z_T(x) & \text{in } I. \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

By the same method in Proof of Corollary 1.3, we can obtain

Corollary 1.5. Let (z, Z) solve (1.10) with the terminal state $z_T \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; X_3)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_T\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; X_3)} \leq C & \left[\|z_{xx}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T))} + \|z_{xxx}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T))} \right. \\ & \left. + \|Z\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_3)} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

where $C = C(a, b, T)$.

By means of Corollary 1.5 and the duality argument, we can obtain the following exact controllability result for the system (1.9).

Theorem 1.4. System (1.9) is exactly controllable at any time $T > 0$.

The controllability problems for linear and nonlinear deterministic fourth order Schrödinger equations are well studied in the literature (see [27, 28] and the rich references cited therein). In contrast, to the authors knowledge there is no published paper that addresses the controllability of stochastic fourth order Schrödinger equations.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the well-posedness results. Section 3 establishes a crucial identity for a stochastic fourth order Schrödinger operator. In Section 4, we give the proofs of Theorem 1.1, Corollary 1.1 and Corollary 1.2. Section 5 is devoted to proving Theorem 1.2, Corollary 1.3 and Corollary 1.4. In Section 4, we establish the exact controllability of (1.9).

2 Well-posedness

In this section we prove the well-posedness results we need along this paper.

2.1 Well-posedness of forward and backward stochastic fourth order Schrödinger equations with homogeneous boundary value condition

Definition 2.1. A stochastic process y is said to be a solution of (1.3) if

$$\begin{aligned} y & \text{ is } L^2(I)\text{-valued and } \mathcal{F}_t\text{-measurable for each } t \in [0, T], \\ y & \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega; C([0, T]; L^2(I))), \\ y(0) & = y_0 \text{ in } I, P\text{-a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I iy(t)v dx & = \int_I iy_0v dx - \int_0^t \int_I y(s)v_{xxxx} dx ds \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \int_I (ay + f)v dx ds + \int_0^t \int_I (by + g)v dx dw \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

holds for all $t \in [0, T]$ and all $v \in C_0^\infty(\bar{I})$, for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Definition 2.2. A pair of stochastic processes (z, Z) is said to be a solution of

$$\begin{cases} idz + z_{xxxx}dt = (az + bZ + h)dt + Zdw & \text{in } Q, \\ z(0, t) = 0 = z(1, t) & \text{in } (0, T), \\ z_x(0, t) = 0 = z_x(1, t) & \text{in } (0, T), \\ z(x, T) = z_T(x) & \text{in } I \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

if

$$\begin{aligned} (z, Z) & \text{ is } L^2(I) \times L^2(I) \text{ - valued and } \mathcal{F}_t \text{ - measurable for each } t \in [0, T], \\ (z, Z) & \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega; C([0, T]; L^2(I))) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; L^2(I)), \\ z(T) & = z_T \text{ in } I, P - a.s. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I iz_T v dx & = \int_I iz(t) v dx - \int_t^T \int_I z(s) v_{xxxx} dx ds \\ & \quad + \int_t^T \int_I (az + bZ + h) v dx ds + \int_t^T \int_I Z(s) v dx dw \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $t \in [0, T]$ and all $v \in C_0^\infty(\bar{I})$, for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Consider the one-dimensional fourth order elliptic operator Λ on $L^2(I)$ as follows

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(\Lambda) = H_0^2(I) \cap H^4(I), \\ \Lambda y = y_{xxxx} \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda). \end{cases}$$

Let $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be the corresponding eigenfunctions of Λ such that $\|\varphi_k\|_{L^2(I)} = 1$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), which serves as an orthonormal basis of $L^2(I)$ (See [24, Theorem 8.94]).

According to [6, Theorem 3.7], we have

Lemma 2.1. For $0 \leq \alpha < \beta$ and $0 < s < 1$. We have the following results:

$$\begin{aligned} [L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega; C([0, T]; H^\alpha(I))), L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega; C([0, T]; H^\beta(I)))]_s & = L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega; C([0, T]; H^{(1-s)\alpha+s\beta}(I))), \\ [L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; H^\alpha(I)), L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; H^\beta(I))]_s & = L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; H^{(1-s)\alpha+s\beta}(I)). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.1. The well-posedness of (1.3) is given in the following:

i) Let $y_0 \in X_0$ and $f, g \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_0)$ be given. Then (1.3) admits a unique solution $y \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega; C([0, T]; X_0))$ such that

$$\|y\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega; C([0, T]; X_0))} \leq C(\|y_0\|_{X_0} + \|f\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_0)} + \|g\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_0)}). \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, it holds that

$$E\|y(t)\|_{X_0}^2 \leq C[E\|y(\tau)\|_{X_0}^2 + E \int_t^\tau (\|f(\eta)\|_{X_0}^2 + \|g(\eta)\|_{X_0}^2) d\eta] \quad (2.4)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq \tau \leq T$, where $C = C(a, b, T)$.

ii) Let $y_0 \in X_4$ and $f, g \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_4)$ be given. Then (1.3) admits a unique solution $y \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega; C([0, T]; X_4))$ such that

$$\|y\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega; C([0, T]; X_4))} \leq C(\|y_0\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P; X_4)} + \|f\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_4)} + \|g\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_4)}). \quad (2.5)$$

Moreover, it holds that

$$E\|y(t)\|_{X_4}^2 \leq C[E\|y(\tau)\|_{X_4}^2 + E \int_t^\tau (\|f(\eta)\|_{X_4}^2 + \|g(\eta)\|_{X_4}^2) d\eta] \quad (2.6)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq \tau \leq T$, where $C = C(a, b, T)$.

iii) For $0 \leq s \leq 4$. Let $y_0 \in X_s$ and $f, g \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_s)$ be given. Then (1.3) admits a unique solution $y \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega; C([0, T]; X_s))$ such that

$$\|y\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega; C([0, T]; X_s))} \leq C(\|y_0\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P; X_s)} + \|f\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_s)} + \|g\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_s)}). \quad (2.7)$$

Moreover, it holds that

$$E\|y(t)\|_{X_s}^2 \leq C[E\|y(\tau)\|_{X_s}^2 + E \int_t^\tau (\|f(\eta)\|_{X_s}^2 + \|g(\eta)\|_{X_s}^2) d\eta] \quad (2.8)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq \tau \leq T$, where $C = C(a, b, T)$.

Proof. Let $(p, q) = \int_I pq dx$, for any $p, q \in L^2(I)$.

i) Inspired by [15], we use the Galerkin method.

It follows from the classical theory of stochastic differential equations (adapted for the complex case) that the following system

$$\begin{cases} dc_k^m = (\sum_{j=1}^m a_{kj} c_j^m + u_k) dt + (\sum_{j=1}^m b_{kj} c_j^m + v_k) dw, \\ c_k^m(0) = (y_0, \varphi_k) \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

admits a unique solution $c_k^m(t)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{kj} &= (-i)[(a\varphi_j, \varphi_k) - (\varphi_{jxxxx}, \varphi_k)], \\ b_{kj} &= (-i)(b\varphi_j, \varphi_k), \\ u_k &= (-i)(f, \varphi_k), \\ v_k &= (-i)(g, \varphi_k) \end{aligned}$$

for $k, j = 1, \dots, m$.

Let us write

$$y^m = \sum_{k=1}^m c_k^m \varphi_k,$$

then $y^m \in C([0, T]; H_0^2(I))$ for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$. It follows from (2.9) that y^m satisfies the following equations

$$id(y^m, \varphi_k) + (y_{xxxx}^m, \varphi_k) dt = [(ay^m, \varphi_k) + (f, \varphi_k)] dt + [(by^m, \varphi_k) + (g, \varphi_k)] dw, \quad (2.10)$$

$k = 1, \dots, m$.

Direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} d|c_k^m|^2 &= [i(\overline{ay^m}, c_k \varphi_k) - i(ay^m, \overline{c_k \varphi_k}) + i\overline{f_k} c_k - i f_k \overline{c_k} + |(by^m, \varphi_k) + g_k|^2] dt \\ &\quad + i[(\overline{by^m}, c_k \varphi_k) - (by^m, \overline{c_k \varphi_k}) + \overline{g_k} c_k - g_k \overline{c_k}] dw \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where $f_k = (f, \varphi_k)$, $g_k = (g, \varphi_k)$.

We take sums from 1 to m about k in (2.11) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d\|y^m\|_{L^2(I)}^2 &= [i(\overline{ay^m}, y^m) - i(ay^m, \overline{y^m}) + i(\overline{f^m}, y^m) - i(f^m, \overline{y^m}) + \sum_{k=1}^m |(by^m, \varphi_k) + g_k|^2] dt \\ &\quad + i[(\overline{by^m}, y^m) - (by^m, \overline{y^m}) + (\overline{g^m}, y^m) - (g^m, \overline{y^m})] dw \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where $f^m = \sum_{k=1}^m f_k \varphi_k$ and $g^m = \sum_{k=1}^m g_k \varphi_k$. Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y^m(t)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 &= \|y^m(0)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \\ &+ \int_0^t [i(\overline{a}y^m, y^m) - i(ay^m, \overline{y}^m) + i(\overline{f}^m, y^m) - i(f^m, \overline{y}^m) + \sum_{k=1}^m |(by^m, \varphi_k) + g_k|^2] d\eta \\ &+ \int_0^t i[(\overline{b}\overline{y}^m, y^m) - (by^m, \overline{y}^m) + (\overline{g}^m, y^m) - (g^m, \overline{y}^m)] dw. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we fix $m \geq 1$ and any positive integer L , and define a stopping time

$$\tau_L = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |c_k(0)| \geq L, \\ \inf\{t \in [0, T] : |c_k(t)| \geq L\}, & \text{if } |c_k(0)| \leq L \text{ and } \\ & \{t \in [0, T] : |c_k(t)| \geq L\} \\ & \text{is not empty,} \\ T, & \text{if } |c_k(0)| \leq L \text{ and } \\ & \{t \in [0, T] : |c_k(t)| \geq L\} \\ & \text{is empty.} \end{cases}$$

By the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality and Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t \wedge \tau_L} \left| \int_0^\tau i((\overline{b}\overline{y}^m, y^m) - (by^m, \overline{y}^m)) dw \right| \right) \\ & \leq \varepsilon E\left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t \wedge \tau_L} \|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2\right) + C(b, \varepsilon) E\left(\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} \|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 d\tau\right), \\ & E\left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t \wedge \tau_L} \left| \int_0^\tau i((\overline{g}^m, y^m) - (g^m, \overline{y}^m)) dw \right| \right) \\ & \leq \varepsilon E\left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t \wedge \tau_L} \|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2\right) + C(\varepsilon) E\left(\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} \|g^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 d\tau\right), \\ & E\left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t \wedge \tau_L} \left| \int_0^\tau i((\overline{a}y^m, y^m) - (ay^m, \overline{y}^m)) d\eta \right| \right) \leq C(a) E\left(\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} \|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 d\tau\right), \\ & E\left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t \wedge \tau_L} \left| \int_0^\tau i((\overline{f}^m, y^m) - (f^m, \overline{y}^m)) d\eta \right| \right) \\ & \leq E\left(\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} \|f^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 d\tau\right) + E\left(\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} \|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 d\tau\right), \\ & E\left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t \wedge \tau_L} \left| \int_0^\tau \sum_{k=1}^m |(by^m, \varphi_k) + g_k|^2 d\eta \right| \right) \\ & \leq E\left(\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} \sum_{k=1}^m |(by^m, \varphi_k) + g_k|^2 d\tau\right) \\ & \leq E\left(\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} [\|by^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|g^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2] d\tau\right) \\ & \leq C(b) \left(E \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} \|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 d\tau + E \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} \|g^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 d\tau \right) \end{aligned}$$

for $\forall t \in [0, T]$ and $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, here and below $C(\varepsilon)$ denote positive constants independent of m . Thus, it

holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & E \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t \wedge \tau_L} \|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \\ & \leq C(a, b) E \left[\|y^m(0)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} (\|f^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|g^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2) d\tau \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By passing $L \rightarrow \infty$ in the above equation, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & E \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \\ & \leq C(a, b) E \left[\|y^m(0)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \int_0^t (\|f^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|g^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2) d\tau \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality, we can obtain

$$E \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \leq C(a, b, T) E \left[\|y^m(0)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \int_0^t (\|f^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|g^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2) d\tau \right] \quad (2.13)$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

By the same argument, we also have, for $m \geq n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|y^m(\tau) - y^n(\tau)\|_{X_0}^2 \\ & \leq C E \left[\|y^m(0) - y^n(0)\|_{X_0}^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^t (\|f^m(\tau) - f^n(\tau)\|_{X_0}^2 + \|g^m(\tau) - g^n(\tau)\|_{X_0}^2) d\tau \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where C denotes a positive constant independent of m, n . Next we observe that the right-hand side of (2.14) converges to zero as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, it follows that $\{y^m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence that converges strongly in $L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega; C([0, T]; X_0))$. Let y be the limit. It is apparent that y satisfies the initial in (1.1), and $y(t)$ is $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted for each $t \in [0, T]$. Also, it follows from (2.10) that (2.1) holds. Furthermore, by passing $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.13), we arrive at (2.3).

For the uniqueness of the solution, we suppose that y_1 and y_2 are two solutions of (1.3). Let $y = y_1 - y_2$. Then

$$E \left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T} \|y(\tau)\|_{X_0}^2 \right) \leq 0,$$

thus $y \equiv 0$ for any $t \in [0, T]$, for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$.

It follows from (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 = \|y^m(t)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \\ & + \int_t^\tau [i(\overline{a}y^m, y^m) - i(ay^m, \overline{y}^m) + i(\overline{f}^m, y^m) - i(f^m, \overline{y}^m) + \sum_{k=1}^m |(by^m, \varphi_k) + g_k|^2] d\eta \\ & + \int_t^\tau i[(\overline{b}\overline{y}^m, y^m) - (by^m, \overline{y}^m) + (\overline{g}^m, y^m) - (g^m, \overline{y}^m)] dw \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq \tau \leq T$. By taking expectation in above equality, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & E \|y^m(t)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 = E \|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 - E \int_t^\tau [i(\overline{a}y^m, y^m) - i(ay^m, \overline{y}^m) \\ & + i(\overline{f}^m, y^m) - i(f^m, \overline{y}^m) + \sum_{k=1}^m |(by^m, \varphi_k) + g_k|^2] d\eta \\ & \leq C(a, b) E \left[\|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \int_t^\tau (\|f^m(\eta)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|g^m(\eta)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|y^m(\eta)\|_{L^2(I)}^2) d\eta \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality, we can obtain

$$E\|y^m(t)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \leq C(a, b, T)E\left[\|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \int_t^\tau (\|f^m(\eta)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|g^m(\eta)\|_{L^2(I)}^2)d\eta\right]. \quad (2.16)$$

Taking now the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.16), we can obtain (2.4).

ii) Inspired by [10], we multiply (2.11) by λ_k^2 and take sums from 1 to m about k to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d\|\Lambda y^m\|_{L^2(I)}^2 &= [i(\overline{ay}^m, \Lambda^2 y^m) - i(ay^m, \Lambda^2 \overline{y}^m) + i(\Lambda \overline{f}^m, \Lambda y^m) - i(\Lambda f^m, \Lambda \overline{y}^m) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^2 |(by^m, \varphi_k) + g_k|^2]dt + i[(\overline{by}^m, \Lambda^2 y^m) - (by^m, \Lambda^2 \overline{y}^m) + (\Lambda \overline{g}^m, \Lambda y^m) - (\Lambda g^m, \Lambda \overline{y}^m)]dw \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. Using integration by parts, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} d\|\Lambda y^m\|_{L^2(I)}^2 &= [i(\Lambda(\overline{ay}^m), \Lambda y^m) \\ &- i(\Lambda(ay^m), \Lambda \overline{y}^m) + i(\Lambda \overline{f}^m, \Lambda y^m) - i(\Lambda f^m, \Lambda \overline{y}^m) + \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^2 |(by^m, \varphi_k) + g_k|^2]dt \\ &+ i[(\Lambda(\overline{by}^m), \Lambda y^m) - (\Lambda(by^m), \Lambda \overline{y}^m) + (\Lambda \overline{g}^m, \Lambda y^m) - (\Lambda g^m, \Lambda \overline{y}^m)]dw \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda y^m(t)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 &= \|\Lambda y^m(0)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \\ &+ \int_0^t [i(\Lambda(\overline{ay}^m), \Lambda y^m) - i(\Lambda(ay^m), \Lambda \overline{y}^m) + i(\Lambda \overline{f}^m, \Lambda y^m) - i(\Lambda f^m, \Lambda \overline{y}^m) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^2 |(by^m, \varphi_k) + g_k|^2]d\eta \\ &+ \int_0^t i[(\Lambda(\overline{by}^m), \Lambda y^m) - (\Lambda(by^m), \Lambda \overline{y}^m) + (\Lambda \overline{g}^m, \Lambda y^m) - (\Lambda g^m, \Lambda \overline{y}^m)]dw \end{aligned}$$

By the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality and Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E\left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t \wedge \tau_L} \left| \int_0^\tau i((\Lambda(\bar{b}\bar{y}^m), \Lambda y^m) - (\Lambda(by^m), \Lambda\bar{y}^m))dw \right| \right) \\
& \leq \varepsilon E\left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t \wedge \tau_L} \|\Lambda y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2\right) + C(b, \varepsilon) E\left(\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} \|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|\Lambda y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 d\tau\right), \\
& E\left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t \wedge \tau_L} \left| \int_0^\tau i((\Lambda\bar{g}^m, \Lambda y^m) - (\Lambda g^m, \Lambda\bar{y}^m))dw \right| \right) \\
& \leq \varepsilon E\left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t \wedge \tau_L} \|\Lambda y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2\right) + C(\varepsilon) E\left(\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} \|\Lambda g^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 d\tau\right), \\
& E\left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t \wedge \tau_L} \left| \int_0^\tau i((\Lambda(\bar{a}\bar{y}^m), \Lambda y^m) - (\Lambda(ay^m), \Lambda\bar{y}^m))d\eta \right| \right) \\
& \leq C(a) \left(E \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} \|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 d\tau + E \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} \|\Lambda y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 d\tau \right), \\
& E\left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t \wedge \tau_L} \left| \int_0^\tau i((\Lambda\bar{f}^m, \Lambda y^m) - (\Lambda f^m, \Lambda\bar{y}^m))d\eta \right| \right) \\
& \leq E\left(\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} \|\Lambda f^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 d\tau\right) + E\left(\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} \|\Lambda y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 d\tau\right), \\
& E\left(\sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t \wedge \tau_L} \left| \int_0^\tau \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^2 |(by^m, \varphi_k) + g_k|^2 d\eta \right| \right) \\
& \leq E\left(\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^2 |(by^m, \varphi_k) + g_k|^2 d\tau\right) \\
& \leq E\left(\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} [\|\Lambda(by^m)(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|\Lambda g^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2] d\tau\right) \\
& \leq C(b) \left(E \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} \|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 d\tau + E \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} \|\Lambda y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 d\tau + E \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} \|\Lambda g^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 d\tau \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for $\forall t \in [0, T]$ and $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, here and below $C(\varepsilon)$ denote positive constants independent of m . Thus, if we take ε small enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t \wedge \tau_L} \|\Lambda y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \\
& \leq C(a, b) E \left[\|\Lambda y^m(0)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_L} (\|\Lambda f^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|\Lambda g^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|\Lambda y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2) d\tau \right].
\end{aligned}$$

By passing $L \rightarrow \infty$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|\Lambda y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \\
& \leq C(a, b) E \left[\|\Lambda y^m(0)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^t (\|\Lambda f^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|\Lambda g^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|\Lambda y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2) d\tau \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|\Lambda y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \\
& \leq C(a, b, T) E \left[\|\Lambda y^m(0)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \int_0^t (\|\Lambda f^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|\Lambda g^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2) d\tau \right].
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} & E \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|\Lambda y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \\ & \leq C(a, b, T) E \left[\|\Lambda y^m(0)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|y^m(0)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \int_0^t (\|f^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|g^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|\Lambda f^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|\Lambda g^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2) d\tau \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Now summing up (2.13) and (2.18) yields

$$E \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|y^m(\tau)\|_{X_4}^2 \leq CE \left[\|y^m(0)\|_{X_4}^2 + \int_0^t (\|f^m(\tau)\|_{X_4}^2 + \|g^m(\tau)\|_{X_4}^2) d\tau \right]. \quad (2.19)$$

By the same argument, we also have, for $m \geq n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|y^m(\tau) - y^n(\tau)\|_{X_4}^2 \\ & \leq CE \left[\|y^m(0) - y^n(0)\|_{X_4}^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^t (\|f^m(\tau) - f^n(\tau)\|_{X_4}^2 + \|g^m(\tau) - g^n(\tau)\|_{X_4}^2) d\tau \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where C denotes a positive constant independent of m, n . Next we observe that the right-hand side of (2.20) converges to zero as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, it follows that $\{y^m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence that converges strongly in $L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega; C([0, T]; X_4))$. Let y_1 be the limit. It is easy to know that $y_1 = y$, namely, we have

$$y^m \rightarrow y \text{ in } L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega; C([0, T]; X_4)).$$

By passing $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.19), we arrive at (2.5).

It follows from (2.17)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Lambda y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 = \|\Lambda y^m(t)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \\ & + \int_t^\tau [i(\Lambda(\overline{ay}^m), \Lambda y^m) - i(\Lambda(ay^m), \Lambda \overline{y}^m) + i(\Lambda \overline{f}^m, \Lambda y^m) - i(\Lambda f^m, \Lambda \overline{y}^m) \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^2 |(by^m, \varphi_k) + g_k|^2] d\eta \\ & + \int_t^\tau i[(\Lambda(\overline{by}^m), \Lambda y^m) - (\Lambda(by^m), \Lambda \overline{y}^m) + (\Lambda \overline{g}^m, \Lambda y^m) - (\Lambda g^m, \Lambda \overline{y}^m)] dw \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq \tau \leq T$. By taking expectation in above equality, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & E\|\Lambda y^m(t)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 = E\|\Lambda y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 - E \int_t^\tau [i(\Lambda(\overline{ay}^m), \Lambda y^m) - i(\Lambda(ay^m), \Lambda \overline{y}^m) \\ & + i(\Lambda \overline{f}^m, \Lambda y^m) - i(\Lambda f^m, \Lambda \overline{y}^m) + \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^2 |(by^m, \varphi_k) + g_k|^2] d\eta \\ & \leq C(a, b) E \left[\|\Lambda y^m(\tau)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_t^\tau (\|\Lambda f^m(\eta)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|\Lambda g^m(\eta)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|\Lambda y^m(\eta)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + \|y^m(\eta)\|_{L^2(I)}^2) d\eta \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Now summing up (2.15) and (2.21) yields

$$E\|y^m(t)\|_{X_4}^2 \leq C(a, b) E \left[\|y^m(\tau)\|_{X_4}^2 + \int_t^\tau (\|f^m(\eta)\|_{X_4}^2 + \|g^m(\eta)\|_{X_4}^2 + \|y^m(\eta)\|_{X_4}^2) d\eta \right].$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality, we can obtain

$$E\|y^m(t)\|_{X_4}^2 \leq C(a, b, T)E\left[\|y^m(\tau)\|_{X_4}^2 + \int_t^\tau (\|f^m(\eta)\|_{X_4}^2 + \|g^m(\eta)\|_{X_4}^2)d\eta\right]. \quad (2.22)$$

Taking now the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.22), we can obtain (2.6).

iii) The main idea here comes from [4, Lemma 3.3] and [25, Theorem 2.9]. The cases $s = 0$ and $s = 4$ have been proved in i) and ii). The cases of $0 < s < 4$ follows by the interpolation theory in [6, 3] and Lemma 2.2, thus we can obtain iii).

The proof of Proposition 2.1 is completed. $\square$

By the same argument as in Proposition 2.1, we have

Proposition 2.2. *The well-posedness of (2.2) is given in the following:*

i) *Let $z_T \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; X_0)$ and $h \in L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; X_0)$ be given. Then (2.2) admits a unique solution $(z, Z) \in L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; X_0)) \times L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; X_0)$ such that*

$$\|z\|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; X_0))} + \|Z\|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; X_0)} \leq C(\|z_T\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; X_0)} + \|h\|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; X_0)}).$$

Moreover, it holds that

$$E\|z(t)\|_{X_0}^2 \leq CE\|z(\tau)\|_{X_0}^2 + CE \int_\tau^t (\|h(\eta)\|_{X_0}^2 + \|Z(\eta)\|_{X_0}^2)d\eta,$$

for $0 \leq \tau \leq t \leq T$, where $C = C(a, b, T)$.

ii) *Let $z_T \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; X_4)$ and $h \in L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; X_4)$ be given. Then (2.2) admits a unique solution $(z, Z) \in L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; X_4)) \times L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; X_4)$ such that*

$$\|z\|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; X_4))} + \|Z\|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; X_4)} \leq C(\|z_T\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; X_4)} + \|h\|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; X_4)}).$$

Moreover, it holds that

$$E\|z(t)\|_{X_4}^2 \leq CE\|z(\tau)\|_{X_4}^2 + CE \int_\tau^t (\|h(\eta)\|_{X_4}^2 + \|Z(\eta)\|_{X_4}^2)d\eta,$$

for $0 \leq \tau \leq t \leq T$, where $C = C(a, b, T)$.

iii) *For $0 \leq s \leq 4$. Let $z_T \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; X_s)$ and $h \in L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; X_s)$ be given. Then (2.2) admits a unique solution $(z, Z) \in L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; X_s)) \times L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; X_s)$ such that*

$$\|z\|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; X_s))} + \|Z\|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; X_s)} \leq C(\|z_T\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; X_s)} + \|h\|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; X_s)}). \quad (2.23)$$

Moreover, it holds that

$$E\|z(t)\|_{X_s}^2 \leq CE\|z(\tau)\|_{X_s}^2 + CE \int_\tau^t (\|h(\eta)\|_{X_s}^2 + \|Z(\eta)\|_{X_s}^2)d\eta,$$

for $0 \leq \tau \leq t \leq T$, where $C = C(a, b, T)$.

Next, we establish the regularity of the solutions to (1.3) and (2.2).

Proposition 2.3. *Let $y_0 \in X_3$, $f, g \in L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; X_3)$ and y be the solution to (1.3), then $y_{xx}(0, t), y_{xx}(1, t), y_{xxx}(0, t), y_{xxx}(1, t) \in L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, L^2(0, T))$. Further, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|y_{xx}(0, \cdot)\|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, L^2(0, T))} + \|y_{xx}(1, \cdot)\|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, L^2(0, T))} \\ & + \|y_{xxx}(0, \cdot)\|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, L^2(0, T))} + \|y_{xxx}(1, \cdot)\|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, L^2(0, T))} \\ & \leq C \left[\|y_0\|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P; X_3)} + \|f\|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; X_3)} + \|g\|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; X_3)} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

where $C = C(a, b, T)$ is a constant independent of y_0, f and g .

In order to prove Proposition 2.3, we first establish the following pointwise identity by some direct computations.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $A \in C^3(\bar{I}, \mathbb{R})$ and y be an $H^4(\mathbb{R})$ -valued $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted semi-martingale. Then for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and P-a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & A\bar{y}_x(idy + y_{xxxx}dt) + Ay_x(-id\bar{y} + \bar{y}_{xxxx}dt) \\ &= ((-\frac{iA}{2})(y d\bar{y} - \bar{y} dy))_x - \frac{1}{2}d((-iA)y\bar{y}_x - (-iA)y_x\bar{y}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(-iA)(dy d\bar{y}_x - dy_x d\bar{y}) + \frac{1}{2}(-iA_x)(\bar{y} dy - y d\bar{y}) + \frac{1}{2}(-iA_t)(y\bar{y}_x - y_x\bar{y})dt \\ &\quad + (A|y_x|^2)_{xxx}dt - 3(A_x|y_x|^2)_{xx}dt + (3A_{xx}|y_x|^2 - 3A|y_{xx}|^2)_x dt \\ &\quad - A_{xxx}|y_x|^2 dt + 3A_x|y_{xx}|^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & A\bar{y}_{xxx}(idy + y_{xxxx}dt) + Ay_{xxx}(-id\bar{y} + \bar{y}_{xxxx}dt) \\ &= [(-iA)(y_{xx}d\bar{y} - \bar{y}_{xx}dy) - (-iA_x)(y_x d\bar{y} - \bar{y}_x dy) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(-iA_{xx})(y d\bar{y} - \bar{y} dy) - \frac{1}{2}(-iA)(y_x d\bar{y}_x - \bar{y}_x dy_x) + A|y_{xxx}|^2 dt]_x \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}d[(-iA)(y_x \bar{y}_{xx} - \bar{y}_x y_{xx}) - (-iA)_{xx}(y\bar{y}_x - \bar{y}y_x)] - \frac{1}{2}(-iA)(dy_x d\bar{y}_{xx} - dy_{xx} d\bar{y}_x) \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{2}(-iA)_x(\bar{y}_x dy_x - y_x d\bar{y}_x) + \frac{1}{2}(-iA)_{xx}(dy d\bar{y}_x - dy_x d\bar{y}) + \frac{1}{2}(-iA)_{xxx}(\bar{y} dy - y d\bar{y}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(-iA)_{xxt}(y\bar{y}_x - y_x\bar{y})dt - \frac{1}{2}(-iA)_t(y_x \bar{y}_{xx} - y_{xx} \bar{y}_x)dt - A_x|y_{xxx}|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 2.3. According to Lemma 2.2 and the boundary value conditions of (1.3), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_Q (A|y_{xx}|^2)_x dx dt = -E \int_I \int_0^T A\bar{y}_x(idy + y_{xxxx}dt) dx - E \int_I \int_0^T Ay_x(-id\bar{y} + \bar{y}_{xxxx}dt) dx \\ & - \frac{1}{2}E \int_Q d[(-iA)(y\bar{y}_x - y_x\bar{y})] dx - E \int_Q A_{xxx}|y_x|^2 dx dt + 3E \int_Q A_x|y_{xx}|^2 dx dt \\ & + E \int_Q \frac{1}{2}(-iA)(dy d\bar{y}_x - dy_x d\bar{y}) dx + E \int_Q \frac{1}{2}(-iA)_x(\bar{y} dy - y d\bar{y}) dx \\ & + E \int_Q \frac{1}{2}(-iA)_t(y\bar{y}_x - y_x\bar{y}) dx dt \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_Q (A|y_{xxx}|^2)_x dx dt = E \int_I \int_0^T A\bar{y}_{xxx}(idy + y_{xxxx}dt) dx + E \int_I \int_0^T Ay_{xxx}(-id\bar{y} + \bar{y}_{xxxx}dt) dx \\ & - \frac{1}{2}E \int_Q d[(-iA)(y_{xx}\bar{y}_{xx} - y_{xx}\bar{y}_x) - (-iA)_{xx}(y\bar{y}_x - y_x\bar{y})] dx \\ & + E \int_Q \frac{1}{2}(-iA)(dy_x d\bar{y}_{xx} - dy_{xx} d\bar{y}_x) dx + E \int_Q \frac{3}{2}(-iA)_x(\bar{y}_x dy_x - y_x d\bar{y}_x) dx \\ & - E \int_Q \frac{1}{2}(-iA)_{xx}(dy d\bar{y}_x - dy_x d\bar{y}) dx - E \int_Q \frac{1}{2}(-iA)_{xxx}(\bar{y} dy - y d\bar{y}) dx \\ & - E \int_Q \frac{1}{2}(-iA)_{xxt}(y\bar{y}_x - y_x\bar{y}) dx dt + E \int_Q \frac{1}{2}(-iA)_t(y_x \bar{y}_{xx} - y_{xx} \bar{y}_x) dx dt \\ & + E \int_Q A_x|y_{xxx}|^2 dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Summing up (2.25) and (2.26), taking $A(x) = -4x^3 + 6x^2 - 1$ and using the Cauchy inequality, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_Q (|y_{xx}|^2(1, t) + |y_{xx}|^2(0, t) + |y_{xxx}|^2(1, t) + |y_{xxx}|^2(0, t)) dt \\ & \leq CE \left[\|y\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, T]; X_3)}^2 + \int_0^T \|ay + f\|_{X_3}^2 dt + \int_0^T \|by + g\|_{X_3}^2 dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

According to (2.7) with $s = 3$, this implies (2.24). $\square$

By the same method as in Proposition 2.3, we have

Proposition 2.4. *Let $z_T \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; X_3)$, $h \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_3)$ and (z, Z) be the solution to (2.2), then $z_{xx}(0, t), z_{xx}(1, t), z_{xxx}(0, t), z_{xxx}(1, t) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T))$. Further, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|z_{xx}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T))} + \|z_{xx}(1, \cdot)\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T))} \\ & + \|z_{xxx}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T))} + \|z_{xxx}(1, \cdot)\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T))} \\ & \leq C \left[\|z_T\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; X_3)} + \|h\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X_3)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $C = C(a, b, T)$ is a constant independent of z_T and h .

2.2 Well-posedness of forward stochastic fourth order Schrödinger equation with nonhomogeneous boundary value condition

Now, referring to [17, 28, 2, 20, 21] for the transposition method, we can give a meaning to (1.9).

Definition 2.3. *A stochastic process $y \in C_{\mathcal{F}}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; X'_3))$ is said to be a solution of (1.9) if for every $\tau \in [0, T]$ and every $z_{\tau} \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\tau}, P; X_3)$ it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & E(y(\tau), \bar{z}_{\tau})_{X'_3, X_3} - E(y_0, \bar{z}(\cdot, 0))_{X'_3, X_3} \\ & = E \int_0^{\tau} i(u_1(t) \bar{z}_{xxx}(0, t) - u_2(t) \bar{z}_{xx}(0, t)) dt \\ & \quad + E \int_0^{\tau} [-i(f, \bar{z})_{X'_3, X_3} + (g, \bar{Z})_{X'_3, X_3}] dt, \end{aligned}$$

where (z, Z) is the solution to (1.10) with terminal state z_{τ} .

Proposition 2.5. *Let $y_0 \in X'_3$, $f \in L^1_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X'_3)$ and $g \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X'_3)$ be given. Then (1.9) admits a unique solution $y \in C_{\mathcal{F}}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; X'_3))$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|_{C_{\mathcal{F}}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; X'_3))} & \leq C(\|y_0\|_{X'_3} + \|f\|_{L^1_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X'_3)} + \|g\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X'_3)} \\ & \quad + \|u_1\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T))} + \|u_2\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T))}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

where $C = C(a, b, T)$.

Proof. The main idea in this part comes from [17, 28, 2].

Let us define a linear functional F on $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\tau}, P; X_3)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} F(z_{\tau}) & = E(y_0, \bar{z}(\cdot, 0))_{X'_3, X_3} + E \int_0^{\tau} i(u_1(t) \bar{z}_{xxx}(0, t) - u_2(t) \bar{z}_{xx}(0, t)) dt \\ & \quad + E \int_0^{\tau} [-i(f, \bar{z})_{X'_3, X_3} + (g, \bar{Z})_{X'_3, X_3}] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 2.2 iii) with $s = 3, h = 0$ and Proposition 2.4 with $h = 0$ to (1.10), we can obtain that the solution (z, Z) for (1.10) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|z\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega; C([0, \tau]; X_3))} + \|Z\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, \tau; X_3)} \leq C \|z_{\tau}\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\tau}, P; X_3)}, \\ & \|z_{xx}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, \tau))} + \|z_{xxx}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, \tau))} \leq C \|z_{\tau}\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\tau}, P; X_3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |F(z_{\tau})| & \leq C(\|y_0\|_{X'_3} + \|f\|_{L^1_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X'_3)} + \|g\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X'_3)} \\ & \quad + \|u_1\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T))} + \|u_2\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T))}) \|z_{\tau}\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\tau}, P; X_3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get that F is bounded linear functional on $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\tau, P; X_3)$. By the Riesz Representation Theorem, we know that there exists a unique $y_\tau \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\tau, P; X'_3)$ such that

$$F(z_\tau) = E(y_\tau, z_\tau)_{X'_3, X_3}$$

for any $z_\tau \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\tau, P; X_3)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_\tau\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\tau, P; X'_3)} &\leq C(\|y_0\|_{X'_3} + \|f\|_{L^1_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X'_3)} + \|g\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X'_3)} \\ &\quad + \|u_1\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T))} + \|u_2\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T))}). \end{aligned}$$

for any $\tau \in (0, T)$.

Define a process $y(\cdot)$ by

$$y(\tau) = y_\tau$$

for any $\tau \in (0, T)$.

Now we prove that $y \in C_{\mathcal{F}}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; X'_3))$.

Indeed, let $\tau \in [0, T)$ and $\xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; X_3)$. Consider the following forward random Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} i\tilde{z}_t + \tilde{z}_{xxxx} = \bar{a}\tilde{z} & \text{in } I \times (\tau, \tau + \delta), \\ \tilde{z}(0, t) = 0 = \tilde{z}(1, t) & \text{in } (\tau, \tau + \delta), \\ \tilde{z}_x(0, t) = 0 = \tilde{z}_x(1, t) & \text{in } (\tau, \tau + \delta), \\ \tilde{z}(\tau) = E(\xi | \mathcal{F}_\tau) & \text{in } I, \end{cases} \quad (2.28)$$

with $\delta > 0$ satisfying that $\tau + \delta < T$.

It is easy to see that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} E\|\tilde{z}(\tau + \delta) - \tilde{z}(\tau)\|_{X_3}^2 = 0.$$

Further, since $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the natural filtration of $\{w(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, we have

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} E\|E(\xi | \mathcal{F}_{\tau+\delta}) - E(\xi | \mathcal{F}_\tau)\|_{X_3}^2 = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} E\|\tilde{z}(\tau + \delta) - E(\xi | \mathcal{F}_{\tau+\delta})\|_{X_3}^2 = 0. \quad (2.29)$$

Let $(z_1, Z_1), (z_2, Z_2)$ and (z_3, Z_3) satisfy

$$\begin{cases} idz_1 + z_{1xxxx}dt = (\bar{a}z_1 - i\bar{b}Z_1)dt + Z_1dw & \text{in } I \times (0, \tau + \delta), \\ z_1(0, t) = 0 = z_1(1, t) & \text{in } (0, \tau + \delta), \\ z_{1x}(0, t) = 0 = z_{1x}(1, t) & \text{in } (0, \tau + \delta), \\ z_1(x, \tau + \delta) = E(\xi | \mathcal{F}_{\tau+\delta}) & \text{in } I, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} idz_2 + z_{2xxxx}dt = (\bar{a}z_2 - i\bar{b}Z_2)dt + Z_2dw & \text{in } I \times (0, \tau + \delta), \\ z_2(0, t) = 0 = z_2(1, t) & \text{in } (0, \tau + \delta), \\ z_{2x}(0, t) = 0 = z_{2x}(1, t) & \text{in } (0, \tau + \delta), \\ z_2(x, \tau + \delta) = \tilde{z}(\tau + \delta) & \text{in } I \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} idz_3 + z_{3xxxx}dt = (\bar{a}z_3 - i\bar{b}Z_3)dt + Z_3dw & \text{in } I \times (0, \tau), \\ z_3(0, t) = 0 = z_3(1, t) & \text{in } (0, \tau), \\ z_{3x}(0, t) = 0 = z_{3x}(1, t) & \text{in } (0, \tau), \\ z_3(x, \tau) = E(\xi | \mathcal{F}_\tau) & \text{in } I. \end{cases}$$

It follows from (2.29), Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \|z_1 - z_2\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, \tau; X_3)} &= 0, \\
\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \|Z_1 - Z_2\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, \tau; X_3)} &= 0, \\
\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \|z_{1xx}(0, t) - z_{2xx}(0, t)\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, \tau))} &= 0, \\
\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \|z_{1xxx}(0, t) - z_{2xxx}(0, t)\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, \tau))} &= 0, \\
\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \|z_1(0) - z_2(0)\|_{X_3} &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

By the uniqueness of the solution to (2.28) and (1.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
z_3 &= z_2 & \text{in } I \times (0, \tau), \\
Z_3 &= Z_2 & \text{in } I \times (0, \tau).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

From the definition of $y(\tau)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&E(y(\tau + \delta) - y(\tau), \xi)_{X'_3, X_3} \\
&= E(y(\tau + \delta), \xi)_{X'_3, X_3} - E(y(\tau), \xi)_{X'_3, X_3} \\
&= E(y(\tau + \delta), E(\xi | \mathcal{F}_{\tau+\delta}))_{X'_3, X_3} - E(y(\tau), E(\xi | \mathcal{F}_{\tau}))_{X'_3, X_3} \\
&= E(y_0, \bar{z}_1(0) - \bar{z}_3(0))_{X'_3, X_3} + E \int_0^{\tau} [-i(f, \bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_3)_{X'_3, X_3} + (g, \bar{Z}_1 - \bar{Z}_3)_{X'_3, X_3}] dt \\
&+ E \int_0^{\tau} i[u_1(t)(\bar{z}_{1xxx}(0, t) - \bar{z}_{3xxx}(0, t)) - u_2(t)(\bar{z}_{1xx}(0, t) - \bar{z}_{3xx}(0, t))] dt \\
&+ E \int_{\tau}^{\tau+\delta} i(u_1(t)\bar{z}_{1xxx}(0, t) - u_2(t)\bar{z}_{1xx}(0, t)) dt + E \int_{\tau}^{\tau+\delta} [-i(f, \bar{z}_1)_{X'_3, X_3} + (g, \bar{Z}_1)_{X'_3, X_3}] dt
\end{aligned}$$

This, together with (2.30) and (2.31), implies that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} E(y(\tau + \delta) - y(\tau), \xi)_{X'_3, X_3} = 0$$

for any $\xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; X_3)$.

Similarly, we can show that for any $\tau \in (0, T]$,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^-} E(y(\tau + \delta) - y(\tau), \xi)_{X'_3, X_3} = 0$$

for any $\xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; X_3)$.

Hence, we have $y \in C_{\mathcal{F}}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; X'_3))$. □

3 An identity for a stochastic fourth order Schrödinger operator

In this section, we obtain an identity for a stochastic fourth order Schrödinger operator, which plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $l \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\theta = e^l$. Assume that y is a continuous $H^4(\mathbb{R})$ -valued $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted semi-martingale. Put*

$$\begin{aligned}
Ly &= idy + y_{xxxx}dt, \\
u &= \theta y, \\
\theta Ly &= \theta(idy + y_{xxxx}dt) = I_2 + I_1dt, \\
I_1 &= B_0u + C_1u_x + B_2u_{xx} + C_3u_{xxx}, \\
I_2 &= idu + (u_{xxxx} + C_2u_{xx} + B_1u_x + C_0u + D_0u)dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients $B_0, B_1, B_2, C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3$ are real value functions and D_0 is a complex value function. Then for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and P -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta(Ly \cdot \overline{I_1} + \overline{Ly} \cdot I_1) \\
&= 2|I_1|^2 dt + (|u|^2\{\cdot\} + |u_x|^2\{\cdot\} + |u_{xx}|^2\{\cdot\} + |u_{xxx}|^2\{\cdot\})dt \\
&+ (\{\cdot\}_x + \{\cdot\}_{xx} + \{\cdot\}_{xxx} + \{\cdot\}_{xxxx})dt + dM \\
&+ (\overline{u}du - u\overline{d}u) \left[i(B_0 - \frac{1}{2}C_{1x} + \frac{1}{2}B_{2xx} - \frac{1}{2}C_{3xxx}) \right] + (\overline{u}_x du_x - u_x \overline{d}u_x) \left[i(\frac{3}{2}C_{3x} - B_2) \right] \\
&+ (dud\overline{u}_x - du_x \overline{d}u_x)(-\frac{i}{2})(C_1 - B_{2x} + C_{3xx}) + (du_x d\overline{u}_{xx} - du_{xx} \overline{d}u_x)(\frac{i}{2}C_3) \\
&+ u\overline{u}_x \left[(-\frac{i}{2})(C_{1t} - B_{2xt} + C_{3xxt}) + C_1 D_0 \right] dt + u_x \overline{u} \left[\frac{i}{2}(C_{1t} - B_{2xt} + C_{3xxt}) + C_1 \overline{D_0} \right] dt \\
&+ (u_x \overline{u}_{xx} - u_{xx} \overline{u}_x)(\frac{i}{2}C_{3t})dt \\
&+ B_2 D_0 u \overline{u}_{xx} dt + B_2 \overline{D_0} u_{xx} \overline{u} dt + C_3 D_0 u \overline{u}_{xxx} dt + C_3 \overline{D_0} u_{xxx} \overline{u} dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
|u|^2\{\cdot\} &= |u|^2\{B_{0xxxx} + (B_0 C_2)_{xx} - (B_0 B_1)_x + 2B_0 C_0 + B_0(D_0 + \overline{D_0}) - (C_0 C_1)_x \\
&\quad + (B_2 C_0)_{xx} - (C_0 C_3)_{xxx}\}, \\
|u_x|^2\{\cdot\} &= |u_x|^2\{-4B_{0xx} - 2B_0 C_2 - C_{1xxx} - (C_1 C_2)_x + 2B_1 C_1 - (B_1 B_2)_x - 2B_2 C_0 \\
&\quad + (B_1 C_3)_{xx} + 3(C_0 C_3)_x\}, \\
|u_{xx}|^2\{\cdot\} &= |u_{xx}|^2\{2B_0 + 3C_{1x} + B_{2xx} + 2B_2 C_2 - (C_2 C_3)_x - 2B_1 C_3\}, \\
|u_{xxx}|^2\{\cdot\} &= |u_{xxx}|^2\{-2B_2 - C_{3x}\}, \\
\{\cdot\}_x &= \{8B_{0x}|u_x|^2 - 4B_{0xxx}|u|^2 - 2(B_0 C_2)_x|u|^2 + B_0 B_1|u|^2 + (\frac{-iC_1}{2})(u\overline{d}u - \overline{u}du) \\
&\quad + 3C_{1xx}|u_x|^2 - 3C_1|u_{xx}|^2 + C_1 C_2|u_x|^2 + C_0 C_1|u|^2 \\
&\quad + (-iB_2)(u_x \overline{d}u - \overline{u}_x du) - \frac{1}{2}(-iB_{2x})(u\overline{d}u - \overline{u}du) - 2B_{2x}|u_{xx}|^2 + B_1 B_2|u_x|^2 \\
&\quad - 2(B_2 C_0)_x|u|^2 + (-iC_3)(u_{xx} \overline{d}u - \overline{u}_{xx} du) - (-iC_{3x})(u_x \overline{d}u - \overline{u}_x du) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}(-iC_{3xx})(u\overline{d}u - \overline{u}du) - \frac{1}{2}(-iC_3)(u_x \overline{d}u_x - \overline{u}_x du_x) + C_3|u_{xxx}|^2 \\
&\quad + C_2 C_3|u_{xx}|^2 - 2(B_1 C_3)_x|u_x|^2 + 3(C_0 C_3)_{xx}|u|^2 - 3C_0 C_3|u_x|^2\}_x, \\
\{\cdot\}_{xx} &= \{6B_{0xx}|u|^2 - 4B_0|u_x|^2 + B_0 C_2|u|^2 - 3C_{1x}|u_x|^2 + B_2|u_{xx}|^2 + B_2 C_0|u|^2 \\
&\quad + B_1 C_3|u_x|^2 - 3(C_0 C_3)_x|u|^2\}_{xx}, \\
\{\cdot\}_{xxx} &= \{-4B_{0x}|u|^2 + C_1|u_x|^2 + C_0 C_3|u|^2\}_{xxx}, \\
\{\cdot\}_{xxxx} &= \{B_0|u|^2\}_{xxxx}, \\
M &= \frac{i}{2}\{(C_1 - B_{2x} + C_{3xx})(u\overline{u}_x - u_x \overline{u}) - C_3(u_x \overline{u}_{xx} - u_{xx} \overline{u}_x)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1. The similar identity for stochastic second order Schrödinger-like operator has been established in [18].

Proof. From the definitions of I_1 and I_2 , we know

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{I_1} &= \overline{B_0} \overline{u} + \overline{C_1} \overline{u}_x + \overline{B_2} \overline{u}_{xx} + \overline{C_3} \overline{u}_{xxx}, \\
\overline{I_2} &= -id\overline{u} + (\overline{u}_{xxxx} + \overline{C_2} \overline{u}_{xx} + \overline{B_1} \overline{u}_x + \overline{C_0} \overline{u} + \overline{D_0} \overline{u})dt.
\end{aligned}$$

According to

$$\theta(Ly \cdot \overline{I_1} + \overline{Ly} \cdot I_1) = 2|I_1|^2 dt + I_1 \overline{I_2} + \overline{I_1} I_2,$$

we need to compute

$$I_1 \overline{I_2} + \overline{I_1} I_2.$$

First, we consider

$$B_0 u \overline{I_2} + \overline{B_0} u I_2. \tag{3.2}$$

Each term in (3.2) can be computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_0 u \cdot (-id\bar{u}) + idu \cdot \overline{B_0 u} &= iB_0(\bar{u}du - u d\bar{u}), \\
B_0 u \cdot \bar{u}_{xxxx} + u_{xxxx} \cdot \overline{B_0 u} &= (B_0|u|^2)_{xxxx} - 4(B_0|u|^2)_{xxx} + (6B_0|u|^2 - 4B_0|u_x|^2)_{xx} \\
&\quad + (8B_0|u_x|^2 - 4B_0|u_{xx}|^2)_x + B_0|u_{xxx}|^2 - 4B_0|u_{xx}|^2 + 2B_0|u_{xx}|^2, \\
B_0 u \cdot \overline{C_2 u_{xx}} + C_2 u_{xx} \cdot \overline{B_0 u} &= (B_0 C_2|u|^2)_{xx} - 2((B_0 C_2)_x|u|^2)_x + (B_0 C_2)_{xx}|u|^2 - 2B_0 C_2|u_x|^2, \\
B_0 u \cdot \overline{B_1 u_x} + B_1 u_x \cdot \overline{B_0 u} &= (B_0 B_1|u|^2)_x - (B_0 B_1)_x|u|^2, \\
B_0 u \cdot (\overline{C_0 u} + \overline{D_0 u}) + (C_0 u + D_0 u) \cdot \overline{B_0 u} &= [2B_0 C_0 + B_0(D_0 + \overline{D_0})]|u|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Simarly, we consider $C_1 u_x \bar{I}_2 + \overline{C_1 u_x} I_2$, $B_2 u_{xx} \bar{I}_2 + \overline{B_2 u_{xx}} I_2$ and $C_3 u_{xxx} \bar{I}_2 + \overline{C_3 u_{xxx}} I_2$.

By a similar argument, calculating each term in $C_1 u_x \bar{I}_2 + \overline{C_1 u_x} I_2$, $B_2 u_{xx} \bar{I}_2 + \overline{B_2 u_{xx}} I_2$ and $C_3 u_{xxx} \bar{I}_2 + \overline{C_3 u_{xxx}} I_2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 u_x \cdot (-id\bar{u}) + idu \cdot \overline{C_1 u_x} &= ((-\frac{iC_1}{2})(u d\bar{u} - \bar{u} du))_x - \frac{1}{2}d((-iC_1)u\bar{u}_x - (-iC_1)u_x\bar{u}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}(-iC_1)(du d\bar{u}_x - du_x d\bar{u}) + \frac{1}{2}(-iC_{1x})(\bar{u}du - u d\bar{u}) + \frac{1}{2}(-iC_{1t})(u\bar{u}_x - u_x\bar{u})dt, \\
C_1 u_x \cdot \bar{u}_{xxxx} + u_{xxxx} \cdot \overline{C_1 u_x} &= (C_1|u_x|^2)_{xxxx} - 3(C_{1x}|u_x|^2)_{xxx} + (3C_{1xx}|u_x|^2 - 3C_1|u_{xxx}|^2)_x \\
&\quad - C_{1xxx}|u_x|^2 + 3C_{1x}|u_{xx}|^2, \\
C_1 u_x \cdot \overline{C_2 u_{xx}} + C_2 u_{xx} \cdot \overline{C_1 u_x} &= (C_1 C_2|u_x|^2)_x - (C_1 C_2)_x|u_x|^2, \\
C_1 u_x \cdot \overline{B_1 u_x} + B_1 u_x \cdot \overline{C_1 u_x} &= 2B_1 C_1|u_x|^2, \\
C_1 u_x \cdot \overline{C_0 u} + C_0 u \cdot \overline{C_1 u_x} &= (C_0 C_1|u|^2)_x - (C_0 C_1)_x|u|^2, \\
C_1 u_x \cdot \overline{D_0 u} + D_0 u \cdot \overline{C_1 u_x} &= C_1(D_0 u\bar{u}_x + \overline{D_0 u_x} \bar{u}), \\
B_2 u_{xx} \cdot (-id\bar{u}) + idu \cdot \overline{B_2 u_{xx}} &= [(-iB_2)(u_x d\bar{u} - \bar{u}_x du) - \frac{1}{2}(-iB_{2x})(u d\bar{u} - \bar{u} du)]_x \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}d[(-iB_{2x})(u\bar{u}_x - u_x\bar{u})] - \frac{1}{2}(-iB_{2x})(du d\bar{u}_x - du_x d\bar{u}) - \frac{1}{2}(-iB_{2xx})(\bar{u}du - u d\bar{u}) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}(-iB_{2xt})(\bar{u}_x u - u_x\bar{u})dt - (-iB_2)(u_x d\bar{u}_x - \bar{u}_x du_x), \\
B_2 u_{xx} \cdot \bar{u}_{xxxx} + u_{xxxx} \cdot \overline{B_2 u_{xx}} &= (B_2|u_{xx}|^2)_{xx} - 2(B_{2x}|u_{xx}|^2)_x + B_{2xx}|u_{xx}|^2 - 2B_2|u_{xxx}|^2, \\
B_2 u_{xx} \cdot \overline{C_2 u_{xx}} + C_2 u_{xx} \cdot \overline{B_2 u_{xx}} &= 2B_2 C_2|u_{xx}|^2, \\
B_2 u_{xx} \cdot \overline{B_1 u_x} + B_1 u_x \cdot \overline{B_2 u_{xx}} &= (B_1 B_2|u_x|^2)_x - (B_1 B_2)_x|u_x|^2, \\
B_2 u_{xx} \cdot \overline{C_0 u} + C_0 u \cdot \overline{B_2 u_{xx}} &= (B_2 C_0|u|^2)_{xx} - 2((B_2 C_0)_x|u|^2)_x + (B_2 C_0)_{xx}|u|^2 - 2B_2 C_0|u_x|^2, \\
B_2 u_{xx} \cdot \overline{D_0 u} + D_0 u \cdot \overline{B_2 u_{xx}} &= B_2(D_0 \bar{u}_{xx} u + \overline{D_0 u_{xx}} \bar{u}). \\
C_3 u_{xxx} \cdot (-id\bar{u}) + idu \cdot \overline{C_3 u_{xxx}} &= [(-iC_3)(u_{xx} d\bar{u} - \bar{u}_{xx} du) - (-iC_{3x})(u_x d\bar{u} - \bar{u}_x du) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}(-iC_{3xx})(u d\bar{u} - \bar{u} du) - \frac{1}{2}(-iC_3)(u_x d\bar{u}_x - \bar{u}_x du_x)]_x \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}d[(-iC_3)(u_x \bar{u}_{xx} - \bar{u}_x u_{xx}) - (-iC_{3x})(u\bar{u}_x - \bar{u}u_x)] - \frac{1}{2}(-iC_3)(du_x d\bar{u}_{xx} - du_{xx} d\bar{u}_x) \\
&\quad - \frac{3}{2}(-iC_3)_x(\bar{u}_x du_x - u_x d\bar{u}_x) + \frac{1}{2}(-iC_{3xx})(du d\bar{u}_x - du_x d\bar{u}) + \frac{1}{2}(-iC_3)_{xx}(\bar{u}du - u d\bar{u}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}(-iC_3)_{xt}(u\bar{u}_x - u_x\bar{u})dt - \frac{1}{2}(-iC_3)_t(u_x \bar{u}_{xx} - u_{xx} \bar{u}_x)dt, \\
C_3 u_{xxx} \cdot \bar{u}_{xxxx} + u_{xxxx} \cdot \overline{C_3 u_{xxx}} &= (C_3|u_{xxx}|^2)_x - C_{3x}|u_{xxx}|^2, \\
C_3 u_{xxx} \cdot \overline{C_2 u_{xx}} + C_2 u_{xx} \cdot \overline{C_3 u_{xxx}} &= (C_2 C_3|u_{xx}|^2)_x - (C_2 C_3)_x|u_{xx}|^2, \\
C_3 u_{xxx} \cdot \overline{B_1 u_x} + B_1 u_x \cdot \overline{C_3 u_{xxx}} &= (B_1 C_3|u_x|^2)_{xx} - 2((B_1 C_3)_x|u_x|^2)_x + (B_1 C_3)_{xx}|u_x|^2 - 2B_1 C_3|u_{xx}|^2, \\
C_3 u_{xxx} \cdot \overline{C_0 u} + C_0 u \cdot \overline{C_3 u_{xxx}} &= (C_0 C_3|u|^2)_{xxx} - 3((C_0 C_3)_x|u|^2)_{xx} + (3(C_0 C_3)_{xx}|u|^2 - 3C_0 C_3|u_x|^2)_x \\
&\quad - (C_0 C_3)_{xxx}|u|^2 + 3(C_0 C_3)_x|u_x|^2, \\
C_3 u_{xxx} \cdot \overline{D_0 u} + D_0 u \cdot \overline{C_3 u_{xxx}} &= C_3(D_0 \bar{u}_{xxx} u + \overline{D_0 u_{xxx}} \bar{u}).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the above equations, we obtain the following equation

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_1 \bar{I}_2 + \bar{I}_1 I_2 \\
&= |u|^2 \{ B_{0xxxx} + (B_0 C_2)_{xx} - (B_0 B_1)_x + 2B_0 C_0 + B_0(D_0 + \bar{D}_0) - (C_0 C_1)_x \\
&\quad + (B_2 C_0)_{xx} - (C_0 C_3)_{xxx} \} dt \\
&+ |u_x|^2 \{ -4B_{0xx} - 2B_0 C_2 - C_{1xxx} - (C_1 C_2)_x + 2B_1 C_1 - (B_1 B_2)_x - 2B_2 C_0 + (B_1 C_3)_{xx} \\
&\quad + 3(C_0 C_3)_x \} dt \\
&+ |u_{xx}|^2 \{ 2B_0 + 3C_{1x} + B_{2x} + 2B_2 C_2 - (C_2 C_3)_x - 2B_1 C_3 \} dt \\
&+ |u_{xxx}|^2 \{ -2B_2 - C_{3x} \} dt \\
&+ \{ \cdot \}_x dt + \{ \cdot \}_{xx} dt + \{ \cdot \}_{xxx} dt + \{ \cdot \}_{xxxx} dt \\
&+ \frac{i}{2} d \{ (C_1 - B_{2x} + C_{3xx})(u \bar{u}_x - u_x \bar{u}) - C_3(u_x \bar{u}_{xx} - u_{xx} \bar{u}_x) \} \\
&+ (\bar{u} du - u d\bar{u})(i(B_0 - \frac{1}{2}C_{1x} + \frac{1}{2}B_{2xx} - \frac{1}{2}C_{3xxx})) + (\bar{u}_x du_x - u_x d\bar{u}_x)(i(\frac{3}{2}C_{3x} - B_2)) \\
&+ (du d\bar{u}_x - du_x d\bar{u})(-\frac{i}{2})(C_1 - B_{2x} + C_{3xx}) + (du_x d\bar{u}_{xx} - du_{xx} d\bar{u}_x)(\frac{i}{2}C_3) \\
&+ (u \bar{u}_x - u_x \bar{u})(-\frac{i}{2})(C_{1t} - B_{2xt} + C_{3xxt}) dt + (u_x \bar{u}_{xx} - u_{xx} \bar{u}_x)(\frac{i}{2}C_{3t}) dt \\
&+ C_1 D_0 u \bar{u}_x dt + C_1 \bar{D}_0 u_x \bar{u} dt + B_2 D_0 u \bar{u}_{xx} dt + B_2 \bar{D}_0 u_{xx} \bar{u} dt + C_3 D_0 u \bar{u}_{xxx} dt + C_3 \bar{D}_0 u_{xxx} \bar{u} dt,
\end{aligned}$$

this implies (3.1). $\square$

Direct computation shows that

$$\theta(idy + y_{xxxx}dt) = idu + (A_0 u + A_1 u_x + A_2 u_{xx} + A_3 u_{xxx} + u_{xxxx})dt, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_0 &= l_x^4 + 4l_x l_{xxx} - l_{xxxx} - 6l_x^2 l_{xx} + 3l_{xx}^2 - il_t, \\
A_1 &= -4l_x^3 + 12l_x l_{xx} - 4l_{xxx}, \\
A_2 &= 6l_x^2 - 6l_{xx}, \\
A_3 &= -4l_x.
\end{aligned}$$

We have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= 8l_x l_{xx} - 4l_{xxx}, & B_2 &= -6l_{xx}, & C_0 &= l_x^4 + 2l_x l_{xxx} - 2l_{xxxx} + l_{xx}^2, \\
C_1 &= -4l_x^3 + 4l_x l_{xx}, & C_2 &= 6l_x^2, & C_3 &= -4l_x, & D_0 &= -il_t.
\end{aligned}$$

We have the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_Q \left\{ (|u|^2 \{ \cdot \} + |u_x|^2 \{ \cdot \} + |u_{xx}|^2 \{ \cdot \} + |u_{xxx}|^2 \{ \cdot \}) dt \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (\{ \cdot \}_x + \{ \cdot \}_{xx} + \{ \cdot \}_{xxx} + \{ \cdot \}_{xxxx}) dt + dM \right\} dx \\
&\leq -E \int_Q \left\{ u \bar{u}_x \left[\left(-\frac{i}{2} \right) (C_{1t} - B_{2xt} + C_{3xxt}) + C_1 D_0 \right] dt \right. \\
&\quad + u_x \bar{u} \left[\frac{i}{2} (C_{1t} - B_{2xt} + C_{3xxt}) + C_1 \bar{D}_0 \right] dt + (u_x \bar{u}_{xx} - u_{xx} \bar{u}_x) \left(\frac{i}{2} C_{3t} \right) dt \\
&\quad + B_2 D_0 u \bar{u}_{xx} dt + B_2 \bar{D}_0 u_{xx} \bar{u} dt + C_3 D_0 u \bar{u}_{xxx} dt + C_3 \bar{D}_0 u_{xxx} \bar{u} dt \\
&\quad \left. + (du d\bar{u}_x - du_x d\bar{u}) \left(-\frac{i}{2} \right) (C_1 - B_{2x} + C_{3xx}) + (du_x d\bar{u}_{xx} - du_{xx} d\bar{u}_x) \left(\frac{i}{2} C_3 \right) \right\} dx \\
&+ E \int_Q \theta^2 |f|^2 dx dt,
\end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $|u|^2 \{ \cdot \}, |u_x|^2 \{ \cdot \}, |u_{xx}|^2 \{ \cdot \}, |u_{xxx}|^2 \{ \cdot \}, \{ \cdot \}_x, \{ \cdot \}_{xx}, \{ \cdot \}_{xxx}, \{ \cdot \}_{xxxx}$ and M are the same as in Theorem 3.1.

Proof. It follows from (3.1) and the fact

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 - \frac{1}{2}C_{1x} + \frac{1}{2}B_{2xx} - \frac{1}{2}C_{3xxx} &= 0 \\ B_2 - \frac{3}{2}C_{3x} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_Q \theta(Ly \cdot \overline{I_1} + \overline{Ly} \cdot I_1) dx \\ &= E \int_Q \left\{ 2|I_1|^2 dt + (|u|^2\{\cdot\} + |u_x|^2\{\cdot\} + |u_{xx}|^2\{\cdot\} + |u_{xxx}|^2\{\cdot\}) dt \right. \\ & \quad + (\{\cdot\}_x + \{\cdot\}_{xx} + \{\cdot\}_{xxx} + \{\cdot\}_{xxxx}) dt + dM \\ & \quad + (dud\overline{u}_x - du_x d\overline{u})(-\frac{i}{2})(C_1 - B_{2x} + C_{3xx}) + (du_x d\overline{u}_{xx} - du_{xx} d\overline{u}_x)(\frac{i}{2}C_3) \\ & \quad + u\overline{u}_x[(-\frac{i}{2})(C_{1t} - B_{2xt} + C_{3xxt}) + C_1 D_0] dt \\ & \quad + u_x \overline{u}[\frac{i}{2}(C_{1t} - B_{2xt} + C_{3xxt}) + C_1 \overline{D_0}] dt + (u_x \overline{u}_{xx} - u_{xx} \overline{u}_x)(\frac{i}{2}C_{3t}) dt \\ & \quad \left. + B_2 D_0 u \overline{u}_{xx} dt + B_2 \overline{D_0} u_{xx} \overline{u} dt + C_3 D_0 u \overline{u}_{xxx} dt + C_3 \overline{D_0} u_{xxx} \overline{u} dt \right\} dx, \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_Q \left\{ 2|I_1|^2 dt + (|u|^2\{\cdot\} + |u_x|^2\{\cdot\} + |u_{xx}|^2\{\cdot\} + |u_{xxx}|^2\{\cdot\}) dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (\{\cdot\}_x + \{\cdot\}_{xx} + \{\cdot\}_{xxx} + \{\cdot\}_{xxxx}) dt + dM \right\} dx \\ &= -E \int_Q \left\{ (dud\overline{u}_x - du_x d\overline{u})(-\frac{i}{2})(C_1 - B_{2x} + C_{3xx}) + (du_x d\overline{u}_{xx} - du_{xx} d\overline{u}_x)(\frac{i}{2}C_3) \right. \\ & \quad + u\overline{u}_x[(-\frac{i}{2})(C_{1t} - B_{2xt} + C_{3xxt}) + C_1 D_0] dt \\ & \quad + u_x \overline{u}[\frac{i}{2}(C_{1t} - B_{2xt} + C_{3xxt}) + C_1 \overline{D_0}] dt + (u_x \overline{u}_{xx} - u_{xx} \overline{u}_x)(\frac{i}{2}C_{3t}) dt \\ & \quad \left. + B_2 D_0 u \overline{u}_{xx} dt + B_2 \overline{D_0} u_{xx} \overline{u} dt + C_3 D_0 u \overline{u}_{xxx} dt + C_3 \overline{D_0} u_{xxx} \overline{u} dt \right\} dx \\ & \quad + E \int_Q \theta(Ly \cdot \overline{I_1} + \overline{Ly} \cdot I_1) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Noting

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_Q \theta(Ly \cdot \overline{I_1} + \overline{Ly} \cdot I_1) dx = E \int_Q \theta((f dt + g dw) \cdot \overline{I_1} + \overline{(f dt + g dw)} \cdot I_1) dx \\ &= E \int_Q \theta(f \overline{I_1} + \overline{f} I_1) dx dt \leq 2E \int_Q |I_1|^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2} E \int_Q \theta^2 |f|^2 dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

we can obtain (3.4). □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1, Corollary 1.1 and Corollary 1.2

4.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Step 1. We shall prove the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_Q (\lambda \widehat{\varphi} \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_{xxx}|^2 + \lambda^3 \widehat{\varphi}^3 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_{xx}|^2 + \lambda^5 \widehat{\varphi}^5 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_x|^2 + \lambda^7 \widehat{\varphi}^7 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq C [E \int_{Q_{I_0}} (\lambda \widehat{\varphi} \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_{xxx}|^2 + \lambda^3 \widehat{\varphi}^3 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_{xx}|^2 + \lambda^5 \widehat{\varphi}^5 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_x|^2 + \lambda^7 \widehat{\varphi}^7 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y|^2) dx dt \\ & \quad + E \int_Q (\lambda^4 \widehat{\varphi}^4 \widehat{\theta}^2 |g|^2 + \lambda^2 \widehat{\varphi}^2 \widehat{\theta}^2 |g_x|^2 + \widehat{\theta}^2 |g_{xx}|^2 + \widehat{\theta}^2 |f|^2) dx dt]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Indeed, applying Corollary 3.1 with $l = \widehat{l}$, then we have $\theta = \widehat{\theta}$, $u = \widehat{u} = \widehat{\theta}y$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_Q \left\{ (|\widehat{u}|^2 \{\cdot\} + |\widehat{u}_x|^2 \{\cdot\} + |\widehat{u}_{xx}|^2 \{\cdot\} + |\widehat{u}_{xxx}|^2 \{\cdot\}) dt \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (\{\cdot\}_x + \{\cdot\}_{xx} + \{\cdot\}_{xxx} + \{\cdot\}_{xxxx}) dt + d\widehat{M} \right\} dx \\
& \leq -E \int_Q \left\{ \widehat{u} \widehat{u}_x \left[\left(-\frac{i}{2}\right) (C_{1t} - B_{2xt} + C_{3xxt}) + C_1 D_0 \right] dt \right. \\
& \quad + \widehat{u}_x \widehat{u} \left[\frac{i}{2} (C_{1t} - B_{2xt} + C_{3xxt}) + C_1 \overline{D_0} \right] dt + (\widehat{u}_x \widehat{u}_{xx} - \widehat{u}_{xx} \widehat{u}_x) \left(\frac{i}{2} C_{3t} \right) dt \\
& \quad + B_2 D_0 \widehat{u} \widehat{u}_{xx} dt + B_2 \overline{D_0} \widehat{u}_{xx} \widehat{u} dt + C_3 D_0 \widehat{u} \widehat{u}_{xxx} dt + C_3 \overline{D_0} \widehat{u}_{xxx} \widehat{u} dt \\
& \quad + (d\widehat{u} d\widehat{u}_x - d\widehat{u}_x d\widehat{u}) \left(-\frac{i}{2}\right) (C_1 - B_{2x} + C_{3xx}) + (d\widehat{u}_x d\widehat{u}_{xx} - d\widehat{u}_{xx} d\widehat{u}_x) \left(\frac{i}{2} C_3\right) \Big\} dx \\
& + E \int_Q \widehat{\theta}^2 |f|^2 dx dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where $\{\cdot\}_x, \{\cdot\}_{xx}, \{\cdot\}_{xxx}, \{\cdot\}_{xxxx}$ and $\widehat{M}$ are the same as in Theorem 3.1 with $u = \widehat{u}$.

By the definitions of $\widehat{a}, \widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\psi}$, it is obvious that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_x^n \widehat{a}| &\leq C(\widehat{\psi}) \mu^n \widehat{\varphi}, \quad |\partial_x^n \widehat{a}_t| \leq C(\widehat{\psi}) T \mu^n \widehat{\varphi}^2, \\
|\widehat{a}_t| &\leq C T \widehat{\varphi}^2, \quad |\widehat{a}_{tt}| \leq C T^2 \widehat{\varphi}^3.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\widehat{\varphi} \leq \frac{T^2}{4} \widehat{\varphi}^2 \leq \frac{T^4}{16} \widehat{\varphi}^3 \leq \frac{T^6}{64} \widehat{\varphi}^4 \leq \frac{T^8}{256} \widehat{\varphi}^5 \leq \frac{T^{10}}{1024} \widehat{\varphi}^6$.

For the term $|\widehat{u}|^2 \{\cdot\}$ in (4.2), if we choose $\lambda \geq \mu C(\widehat{\psi})(T + T^2)$ with $C(\widehat{\psi})$ large enough, then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& B_{0xxxx} + (B_0 C_2)_{xx} - (B_0 B_1)_x + 2B_0 C_0 + B_0(D_0 + \overline{D_0}) - (C_0 C_1)_x \\
& \quad + (B_2 C_0)_{xx} - (C_0 C_3)_{xxx} \\
& = 16\lambda^7 \mu^8 \widehat{\varphi}^7 \widehat{\psi}_x^8 + \widehat{R}_0,
\end{aligned}$$

where $|\widehat{R}_0| \leq C(\widehat{\psi}) \lambda^7 \mu^7 \widehat{\varphi}^7$.

Namely

$$|\widehat{u}|^2 \{\cdot\} = 16\lambda^7 \mu^8 \widehat{\varphi}^7 \widehat{\psi}_x^8 |\widehat{u}|^2 + \widehat{R}_0 |\widehat{u}|^2. \tag{4.3}$$

Using the same method, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\widehat{u}_x|^2 \{\cdot\} &= 80\lambda^5 \mu^6 \widehat{\varphi}^5 \widehat{\psi}_x^6 |\widehat{u}_x|^2 + \widehat{R}_1 |\widehat{u}_x|^2, \\
|\widehat{u}_{xx}|^2 \{\cdot\} &= 16\lambda^3 \mu^4 \widehat{\varphi}^3 \widehat{\psi}_x^4 |\widehat{u}_{xx}|^2 + \widehat{R}_2 |\widehat{u}_{xx}|^2, \\
|\widehat{u}_{xxx}|^2 \{\cdot\} &= 16\lambda \mu^2 \widehat{\varphi} \widehat{\psi}_x^2 |\widehat{u}_{xxx}|^2 + \widehat{R}_3 |\widehat{u}_{xxx}|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
|\widehat{R}_1| &\leq C \lambda^5 \mu^5 \widehat{\varphi}^5, \\
|\widehat{R}_2| &\leq C \lambda^3 \mu^3 \widehat{\varphi}^3, \\
|\widehat{R}_3| &\leq C \lambda \mu \widehat{\varphi}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we estimate the term $E \int_Q (\{\cdot\}_x + \{\cdot\}_{xx} + \{\cdot\}_{xxx} + \{\cdot\}_{xxxx}) dx dt$ in (4.2).

Indeed, noting that $y(0, t) = y(1, t) = y_x(0, t) = y_x(1, t) = 0$, we have

$$\widehat{u}(0, t) = \widehat{u}(1, t) = \widehat{u}_x(0, t) = \widehat{u}_x(1, t) = 0 \quad \forall t \in (0, T).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_Q (\{\cdot\}_x + \{\cdot\}_{xx} + \{\cdot\}_{xxx} + \{\cdot\}_{xxxx}) dx dt \\
& = E \int_Q \left\{ |\widehat{u}_{xx}|^2 (-20\lambda^3 \mu^3 \widehat{\varphi}^3 \widehat{\psi}_x^3 + \widehat{r}_1) + |\widehat{u}_{xxx}|^2 (-4\lambda \mu \widehat{\varphi} \widehat{\psi}_x) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \widehat{u}_{xxx} \widehat{u}_{xx} (-6\lambda \mu^2 \widehat{\varphi} \widehat{\psi}_x^2 + \widehat{r}_2) + \widehat{u}_{xx} \widehat{u}_{xxx} (-6\lambda \mu^2 \widehat{\varphi} \widehat{\psi}_x^2 + \widehat{r}_2) \right\}_x dx dt \\
& \triangleq \widehat{V}(1) - \widehat{V}(0),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{r}_1| &\leq C\lambda^2\mu^3\hat{\varphi}^2, \\ |\hat{r}_2| &\leq C\lambda\mu\hat{\varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

It holds that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, if we choose $\lambda \geq \mu C(\varepsilon, \hat{\psi})(T + T^2)$ with $C(\varepsilon, \hat{\psi})$ large enough, then

$$\begin{aligned} &|\hat{u}_{xxx}\bar{\hat{u}}_{xx}(-6\lambda\mu^2\hat{\varphi}\hat{\psi}_x^2 + \hat{r}_2)(1, t) + \hat{u}_{xx}\bar{\hat{u}}_{xxx}(-6\lambda\mu^2\hat{\varphi}\hat{\psi}_x^2 + \hat{r}_2)(1, t)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon\lambda^3\mu^3\hat{\varphi}^3(1, t)|\hat{u}_{xxx}(1, t)|^2 + \varepsilon\lambda\mu\hat{\varphi}(1, t)|\hat{u}_{xx}(1, t)|^2, \\ &|\hat{u}_{xxx}\bar{\hat{u}}_{xx}(-6\lambda\mu^2\hat{\varphi}\hat{\psi}_x^2 + \hat{r}_2)(0, t) + \hat{u}_{xx}\bar{\hat{u}}_{xxx}(-6\lambda\mu^2\hat{\varphi}\hat{\psi}_x^2 + \hat{r}_2)(0, t)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon\lambda^3\mu^3\hat{\varphi}^3(0, t)|\hat{u}_{xxx}(0, t)|^2 + \varepsilon\lambda\mu\hat{\varphi}(0, t)|\hat{u}_{xx}(0, t)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\hat{\psi}_x(1) < 0$, $\hat{\psi}_x(0) > 0$, if we choose ε small sufficiently and $\lambda \geq \mu C(\varepsilon, \hat{\psi})(T + T^2)$, then there exist positive constants N_1, N_2, K_1, K_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V}(1) &= E \int_0^T [|\hat{u}_{xx}|^2(-20\lambda^3\mu^3\hat{\varphi}^3\hat{\psi}_x^3 + \hat{r}_1) + |\hat{u}_{xxx}|^2(-4\lambda\mu\hat{\varphi}\hat{\psi}_x) \\ &\quad + \hat{u}_{xxx}\bar{\hat{u}}_{xx}(-6\lambda\mu^2\hat{\varphi}\hat{\psi}_x^2 + \hat{r}_2) + \hat{u}_{xx}\bar{\hat{u}}_{xxx}(-6\lambda\mu^2\hat{\varphi}\hat{\psi}_x^2 + \hat{r}_2)](1, t)dt \\ &\geq E \int_0^T (-N_1\lambda^3\mu^3\hat{\varphi}^3(1, t)\hat{\psi}_x^3(1)|\hat{u}_{xx}(1, t)|^2 - K_1\lambda\mu\hat{\varphi}(1, t)\hat{\psi}_x(1)|\hat{u}_{xxx}(1, t)|^2)dt \\ &\geq 0, \\ \hat{V}(0) &= E \int_0^T [|\hat{u}_{xx}|^2(-20\lambda^3\mu^3\hat{\varphi}^3\hat{\psi}_x^3 + \hat{r}_1) + |\hat{u}_{xxx}|^2(-4\lambda\mu\hat{\varphi}\hat{\psi}_x) \\ &\quad + \hat{u}_{xxx}\bar{\hat{u}}_{xx}(-6\lambda\mu^2\hat{\varphi}\hat{\psi}_x^2 + \hat{r}_2) + \hat{u}_{xx}\bar{\hat{u}}_{xxx}(-6\lambda\mu^2\hat{\varphi}\hat{\psi}_x^2 + \hat{r}_2)](0, t)dt \\ &\leq E \int_0^T (-N_2\lambda^3\mu^3\hat{\varphi}^3(0, t)\hat{\psi}_x^3(0)|\hat{u}_{xx}(0, t)|^2 - K_2\lambda\mu\hat{\varphi}(0, t)\hat{\psi}_x(0)|\hat{u}_{xxx}(0, t)|^2)dt \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\hat{V}(1) - \hat{V}(0) \geq 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Noting that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \hat{a}(\cdot, t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow T^-} \hat{a}(\cdot, t) = -\infty$, we have

$$\hat{u}(x, 0) = \hat{u}(x, T) = \hat{u}_x(x, 0) = \hat{u}_x(x, T) = 0 \quad \forall x \in I.$$

It is obvious that

$$E \int_0^T d\hat{M} = 0.$$

It is a straightforward calculation to show that

$$\begin{aligned} &|(\hat{u}\bar{\hat{u}}_x - \hat{u}_x\bar{\hat{u}})(-\frac{i}{2})(C_{1t} - B_{2xt} + C_{3xxt}) + C_1D_0\hat{u}\bar{\hat{u}}_x + C_1\bar{D}_0\hat{u}_x\bar{\hat{u}}| \leq C\lambda^4\mu^3\hat{\varphi}^5|\hat{u}||\hat{u}_x|, \\ &|(\hat{u}_x\bar{\hat{u}}_{xx} - \hat{u}_{xx}\bar{\hat{u}}_x)(\frac{i}{2}C_{3t})| \leq C\lambda\mu\hat{\varphi}^2|\hat{u}_x||\hat{u}_{xx}|, \\ &|B_2D_0\hat{u}\bar{\hat{u}}_{xx} + B_2\bar{D}_0\hat{u}_{xx}\bar{\hat{u}}| \leq C\lambda^2\mu^2\hat{\varphi}^3|\hat{u}||\hat{u}_{xx}|, \\ &|C_3D_0\hat{u}\bar{\hat{u}}_{xxx} + C_3\bar{D}_0\hat{u}_{xxx}\bar{\hat{u}}| \leq C\lambda^2\mu\hat{\varphi}^3|\hat{u}||\hat{u}_{xxx}|, \end{aligned}$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\hat{u}\bar{\hat{u}}_x[(-\frac{i}{2})(C_{1t} - B_{2xt} + C_{3xxt}) + C_1D_0] + \hat{u}_x\bar{\hat{u}}[-\frac{i}{2}(C_{1t} - B_{2xt} + C_{3xxt}) + C_1\bar{D}_0] \\ &+ (\hat{u}_x\bar{\hat{u}}_{xx} - \hat{u}_{xx}\bar{\hat{u}}_x)(\frac{i}{2}C_{3t}) + B_2D_0\hat{u}\bar{\hat{u}}_{xx} + B_2\bar{D}_0\hat{u}_{xx}\bar{\hat{u}} + C_3D_0\hat{u}\bar{\hat{u}}_{xxx} + C_3\bar{D}_0\hat{u}_{xxx}\bar{\hat{u}}| \\ &\leq C(\lambda^7\mu^7\hat{\varphi}^7|\hat{u}|^2 + \lambda^5\mu^5\hat{\varphi}^5|\hat{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3\mu^3\hat{\varphi}^3|\hat{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda\mu\hat{\varphi}|\hat{u}_{xxx}|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |E \int_Q [(d\hat{u}d\bar{\hat{u}}_x - d\hat{u}_x d\bar{\hat{u}})(-\frac{i}{2})(C_1 - B_{2x} + C_{3xx}) + (d\hat{u}_x d\bar{\hat{u}}_{xx} - d\hat{u}_{xx} d\bar{\hat{u}}_x)(\frac{i}{2}C_3)]dx| \\
& \leq CE \int_Q (\lambda^3 \mu^3 \hat{\varphi}^3 \hat{\theta}^2 |g| |g_x| + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \hat{\varphi}^2 \hat{\theta}^2 |g| |g_{xx}| + \lambda \mu \hat{\varphi} \hat{\theta}^2 |g_x| |g_{xx}|) dx dt \\
& \leq CE \int_Q (\lambda^4 \mu^4 \hat{\varphi}^4 \hat{\theta}^2 |g|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \hat{\varphi}^2 \hat{\theta}^2 |g_x|^2 + \hat{\theta}^2 |g_{xx}|^2) dx dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

From (4.2)-(4.6), we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_Q (\lambda^7 \mu^8 \hat{\varphi}^7 \hat{\psi}_x^8 |\hat{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \mu^6 \hat{\varphi}^5 \hat{\psi}_x^6 |\hat{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \mu^4 \hat{\varphi}^3 \hat{\psi}_x^4 |\hat{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \mu^2 \hat{\varphi} \hat{\psi}_x^2 |\hat{u}_{xxx}|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq CE \int_Q (\lambda^4 \mu^4 \hat{\varphi}^4 \hat{\theta}^2 |g|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \hat{\varphi}^2 \hat{\theta}^2 |g_x|^2 + \hat{\theta}^2 |g_{xx}|^2 + \hat{\theta}^2 |f|^2 \\
& \quad + \lambda^7 \mu^7 \hat{\varphi}^7 |\hat{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \mu^5 \hat{\varphi}^5 |\hat{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \mu^3 \hat{\varphi}^3 |\hat{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \mu \hat{\varphi} |\hat{u}_{xxx}|^2) dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $|\hat{\psi}_x| > 0$ in $\bar{I} \setminus I_0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_{Q \setminus Q^{I_0}} (\lambda^7 \mu^8 \hat{\varphi}^7 |\hat{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \mu^6 \hat{\varphi}^5 |\hat{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \mu^4 \hat{\varphi}^3 |\hat{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \mu^2 \hat{\varphi} |\hat{u}_{xxx}|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C(\hat{\psi}) E \int_Q (\lambda^4 \mu^4 \hat{\varphi}^4 \hat{\theta}^2 |g|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \hat{\varphi}^2 \hat{\theta}^2 |g_x|^2 + \hat{\theta}^2 |g_{xx}|^2 + \hat{\theta}^2 |f|^2 \\
& \quad + \lambda^7 \mu^7 \hat{\varphi}^7 |\hat{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \mu^5 \hat{\varphi}^5 |\hat{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \mu^3 \hat{\varphi}^3 |\hat{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \mu \hat{\varphi} |\hat{u}_{xxx}|^2) dx dt,
\end{aligned}$$

from which if we choose $\mu_0 = C(\hat{\psi}) + 1$, then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_{Q \setminus Q^{I_0}} (\lambda^7 \mu^7 \hat{\varphi}^7 |\hat{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \mu^5 \hat{\varphi}^5 |\hat{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \mu^3 \hat{\varphi}^3 |\hat{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \mu \hat{\varphi} |\hat{u}_{xxx}|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C_1(\hat{\psi}) E \left[\int_Q (\lambda^4 \mu^4 \hat{\varphi}^4 \hat{\theta}^2 |g|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \hat{\varphi}^2 \hat{\theta}^2 |g_x|^2 + \hat{\theta}^2 |g_{xx}|^2 + \hat{\theta}^2 |f|^2) dx dt \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{Q^{I_0}} (\lambda^7 \mu^7 \hat{\varphi}^7 |\hat{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \mu^5 \hat{\varphi}^5 |\hat{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \mu^3 \hat{\varphi}^3 |\hat{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \mu \hat{\varphi} |\hat{u}_{xxx}|^2) dx dt \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_{Q \setminus Q^{I_0}} (\lambda^7 \mu^7 \hat{\varphi}^7 |\hat{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \mu^5 \hat{\varphi}^5 |\hat{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \mu^3 \hat{\varphi}^3 |\hat{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \mu \hat{\varphi} |\hat{u}_{xxx}|^2) dx dt \\
& + E \int_{Q^{I_0}} (\lambda^7 \mu^7 \hat{\varphi}^7 |\hat{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \mu^5 \hat{\varphi}^5 |\hat{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \mu^3 \hat{\varphi}^3 |\hat{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \mu \hat{\varphi} |\hat{u}_{xxx}|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C_1(\hat{\psi}) E \int_Q (\lambda^4 \mu^4 \hat{\varphi}^4 \hat{\theta}^2 |g|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \hat{\varphi}^2 \hat{\theta}^2 |g_x|^2 + \hat{\theta}^2 |g_{xx}|^2 + \hat{\theta}^2 |f|^2) dx dt \\
& + E \int_{Q^{I_0}} (\lambda^7 \mu^7 \hat{\varphi}^7 |\hat{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \mu^5 \hat{\varphi}^5 |\hat{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \mu^3 \hat{\varphi}^3 |\hat{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \mu \hat{\varphi} |\hat{u}_{xxx}|^2) dx dt,
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_Q (\lambda^7 \mu^7 \hat{\varphi}^7 |\hat{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \mu^5 \hat{\varphi}^5 |\hat{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \mu^3 \hat{\varphi}^3 |\hat{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \mu \hat{\varphi} |\hat{u}_{xxx}|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq CE \left[\int_Q (\lambda^4 \mu^4 \hat{\varphi}^4 \hat{\theta}^2 |g|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \hat{\varphi}^2 \hat{\theta}^2 |g_x|^2 + \hat{\theta}^2 |g_{xx}|^2 + \hat{\theta}^2 |f|^2) dx dt \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{Q^{I_0}} (\lambda^7 \mu^7 \hat{\varphi}^7 |\hat{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \mu^5 \hat{\varphi}^5 |\hat{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \mu^3 \hat{\varphi}^3 |\hat{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \mu \hat{\varphi} |\hat{u}_{xxx}|^2) dx dt \right],
\end{aligned}$$

from which it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_Q (\lambda^7 \widehat{\varphi}^7 |\widehat{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \widehat{\varphi}^5 |\widehat{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \widehat{\varphi}^3 |\widehat{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \widehat{\varphi} |\widehat{u}_{xxx}|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C(\mu) E \left[\int_Q (\lambda^4 \widehat{\varphi}^4 \widehat{\theta}^2 |g|^2 + \lambda^2 \widehat{\varphi}^2 \widehat{\theta}^2 |g_x|^2 + \widehat{\theta}^2 |g_{xx}|^2 + \widehat{\theta}^2 f^2) dx dt \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{Q^{I_0}} (\lambda^7 \widehat{\varphi}^7 |\widehat{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \widehat{\varphi}^5 |\widehat{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \widehat{\varphi}^3 |\widehat{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \widehat{\varphi} |\widehat{u}_{xxx}|^2) dx dt \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Returning $\widehat{u}$ to $\widehat{\theta}y$, we can obtain (4.1).

Step 2. We shall eliminate the terms $E \int_{Q^{I_0}} \lambda^3 \widehat{\varphi}^3 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_{xx}|^2 dx dt$ and $E \int_{Q^{I_0}} \lambda^5 \widehat{\varphi}^5 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_x|^2 dx dt$ in the right side of (4.1). Namely, we have (1.2).

Indeed, by the interpolation inequality, we obtain that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\int_{I_0} |(\widehat{\theta}y)_x|^2 dx \leq \varepsilon \int_{I_0} |(\widehat{\theta}y)_{xx}|^2 dx + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int_{I_0} |\widehat{\theta}y|^2 dx,$$

where C depends only on I_0 . Take ε as $\frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} (\frac{\lambda}{t(T-t)})^{-2}$ in above inequality, where ε_1 will be fixed later. It holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{I_0} \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_x|^2 dx & \leq \varepsilon_1 \left(\frac{\lambda}{t(T-t)} \right)^{-2} \int_{I_0} |(\widehat{\theta}y)_{xx}|^2 dx + \frac{C}{\varepsilon_1 \left(\frac{\lambda}{t(T-t)} \right)^{-2}} \int_{I_0} |\widehat{\theta}y|^2 dx \\
& \quad + 2 \int_{I_0} \widehat{\theta}_x^2 |y|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing appropriate ε_1 , we deduce that

$$\int_{I_0} \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_x|^2 dx \leq \varepsilon \int_{I_0} \lambda^{-2} t^2 (T-t)^2 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_{xx}|^2 dx + C \int_{I_0} \lambda^2 t^{-2} (T-t)^{-2} \widehat{\theta}^2 |y|^2 dx.$$

Noting that there exist two positive constants N_3 and K_3 such that

$$\frac{N_3}{t(T-t)} \leq \widehat{\varphi} \leq \frac{K_3}{t(T-t)},$$

thus, we can obtain that

$$\int_{Q^{I_0}} \lambda^5 \widehat{\varphi}^5 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_x|^2 dx dt \leq \varepsilon \int_{Q^{I_0}} \lambda^3 \widehat{\varphi}^3 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_{xx}|^2 dx dt + C \int_{Q^{I_0}} \lambda^7 \widehat{\varphi}^7 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y|^2 dx dt, \quad (4.7)$$

by the same way, we have

$$\int_{Q^{I_0}} \lambda^3 \widehat{\varphi}^3 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_{xx}|^2 dx dt \leq \varepsilon \int_{Q^{I_0}} \lambda \widehat{\varphi} \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_{xxx}|^2 dx dt + C \int_{Q^{I_0}} \lambda^7 \widehat{\varphi}^7 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y|^2 dx dt. \quad (4.8)$$

According to (4.7)-(4.8) and (4.1), we can obtain (1.2).

4.2 Proof of Corollary 1.1

According to (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_Q (\lambda \widehat{\varphi} \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_{xxx}|^2 + \lambda^3 \widehat{\varphi}^3 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_{xx}|^2 + \lambda^5 \widehat{\varphi}^5 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_x|^2 + \lambda^7 \widehat{\varphi}^7 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C [E \int_{Q^{I_0}} (\lambda \widehat{\varphi} \widehat{\theta}^2 |y_{xxx}|^2 + \lambda^7 \widehat{\varphi}^7 \widehat{\theta}^2 |y|^2) dx dt \\
& \quad + E \int_Q (\lambda^4 \mu^4 \widehat{\varphi}^4 \widehat{\theta}^2 |by + g|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \widehat{\varphi}^2 \widehat{\theta}^2 |(by + g)_x|^2 + \widehat{\theta}^2 |(by + g)_{xx}|^2 + \widehat{\theta}^2 |ay + f|^2) dx dt].
\end{aligned}$$

If we take $\lambda \geq C(a, b, T)$, where $C(a, b, T)$ is large enough, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_Q (\lambda \hat{\varphi} \hat{\theta}^2 |y_{xxx}|^2 + \lambda^3 \hat{\varphi}^3 \hat{\theta}^2 |y_{xx}|^2 + \lambda^5 \hat{\varphi}^5 \hat{\theta}^2 |y_x|^2 + \lambda^7 \hat{\varphi}^7 \hat{\theta}^2 |y|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq C \left[E \int_{Q^{I_0}} (\lambda \hat{\varphi} \hat{\theta}^2 |y_{xxx}|^2 + \lambda^7 \hat{\varphi}^7 \hat{\theta}^2 |y|^2) dx dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + E \int_Q (\lambda^4 \hat{\varphi}^4 \hat{\theta}^2 |g|^2 + \lambda^2 \hat{\varphi}^2 \hat{\theta}^2 |g_x|^2 + \hat{\theta}^2 |g_{xx}|^2 + \hat{\theta}^2 |f|^2) dx dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By means of the definitions of $\hat{\varphi}$ and $\hat{\theta}$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_Q (\lambda \hat{\varphi} \hat{\theta}^2 |y_{xxx}|^2 + \lambda^3 \hat{\varphi}^3 \hat{\theta}^2 |y_{xx}|^2 + \lambda^5 \hat{\varphi}^5 \hat{\theta}^2 |y_x|^2 + \lambda^7 \hat{\varphi}^7 \hat{\theta}^2 |y|^2) dx dt \\ & \geq C \min_{x \in \overline{I}} (\hat{\varphi}(x, \frac{T}{2}) \hat{\theta}^2(x, \frac{T}{4})) E \int_{\frac{T}{4}}^{\frac{3T}{4}} \int_I (|y_{xxx}|^2 + |y_{xx}|^2 + |y_x|^2 + |y|^2) dx dt, \\ & E \int_{Q^{I_0}} (\lambda \hat{\varphi} \hat{\theta}^2 |y_{xxx}|^2 + \lambda^7 \hat{\varphi}^7 \hat{\theta}^2 |y|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq C \max_{(x,t) \in \overline{Q}} (\hat{\varphi}^7(x, t) \hat{\theta}^2(x, t)) E \int_{Q^{I_0}} (|y_{xxx}|^2 + |y|^2) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[\int_Q (\lambda^4 \hat{\varphi}^4 \hat{\theta}^2 |g|^2 + \lambda^2 \hat{\varphi}^2 \hat{\theta}^2 |g_x|^2 + \hat{\theta}^2 |g_{xx}|^2 + \hat{\theta}^2 |f|^2) dx dt \right] \\ & \leq C \max_{(x,t) \in \overline{Q}} (\hat{\varphi}^4(x, t) \hat{\theta}^2(x, t)) E \int_Q (|g|^2 + |g_x|^2 + |g_{xx}|^2 + |f|^2) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the above equalities and (1.2), there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_{\frac{T}{4}}^{\frac{3T}{4}} \int_I (|y_{xxx}|^2 + |y_{xx}|^2 + |y_x|^2 + |y|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq C \frac{\max_{(x,t) \in \overline{Q}} (\hat{\varphi}^7(x, t) \hat{\theta}^2(x, t))}{\min_{x \in \overline{I}} (\hat{\varphi}(x, \frac{T}{2}) \hat{\theta}^2(x, \frac{T}{4}))} \left[E \int_{Q^{I_0}} (|y_{xxx}|^2 + |y|^2) dx dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + E \int_Q (|g|^2 + |g_x|^2 + |g_{xx}|^2 + |f|^2) dx dt \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

It follows from (2.8) with $s = 3$ and (4.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{T}{2} E \|y_0\|_{X_3}^2 \\ & \leq C [E \int_{\frac{T}{4}}^{\frac{3T}{4}} \|y(t)\|_{X_3}^2 dt + E \int_0^T (\|f(t)\|_{X_3}^2 + \|g(t)\|_{X_3}^2) dt] \\ & \leq C \left[E \int_{Q^{I_0}} (|y_{xxx}|^2 + |y|^2) dx dt + E \int_0^T (\|f(t)\|_{X_3}^2 + \|g(t)\|_{X_3}^2) dt \right], \end{aligned}$$

namely, it holds that

$$\|y_0\|_{X_3}^2 \leq C \left[E \int_{Q^{I_0}} (|y_{xxx}|^2 + |y|^2) dx dt + E \int_0^T (\|f(t)\|_{X_3}^2 + \|g(t)\|_{X_3}^2) dt \right],$$

this implies (1.4).

4.3 Proof of Corollary 1.2

Taking $f = g = 0$ in (1.4) and considering $y \equiv 0$ in Q^{I_0} P -a.s., we have

$$\|y_0\|_{X_3} \leq 0,$$

thus, $y_0 \equiv 0$ in I P -a.s., this implies $y \equiv 0$ in Q P -a.s.

5 Proof of Theorem 1.2, Corollary 1.3 and Corollary 1.4

5.1 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.2 is similar to Proof of Theorem 1.1, we give a sketch of it.

Indeed, applying Corollary 3.1 with $l = \tilde{l}$, then we have $\theta = \tilde{\theta}$, $u = \tilde{u} = \tilde{\theta}y$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_Q \left\{ (|\tilde{u}|^2 \{\cdot\} + |\tilde{u}_x|^2 \{\cdot\} + |\tilde{u}_{xx}|^2 \{\cdot\} + |\tilde{u}_{xxx}|^2 \{\cdot\}) dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (\{\cdot\}_x + \{\cdot\}_{xx} + \{\cdot\}_{xxx} + \{\cdot\}_{xxxx}) dt + d\tilde{M} \right\} dx \\ & \leq -E \int_Q \left\{ \tilde{u} \tilde{u}_x \left[\left(-\frac{i}{2} \right) (C_{1t} - B_{2xt} + C_{3xxt}) + C_1 D_0 \right] dt \right. \\ & \quad + \tilde{u}_x \tilde{u} \left[\frac{i}{2} (C_{1t} - B_{2xt} + C_{3xxt}) + C_1 \overline{D_0} \right] dt + (\tilde{u}_x \tilde{u}_{xx} - \tilde{u}_{xx} \tilde{u}_x) \left(\frac{i}{2} C_{3t} \right) dt \\ & \quad + B_2 D_0 \tilde{u} \tilde{u}_{xx} dt + B_2 \overline{D_0} \tilde{u}_{xx} \tilde{u} dt + C_3 D_0 \tilde{u} \tilde{u}_{xxx} dt + C_3 \overline{D_0} \tilde{u}_{xxx} \tilde{u} dt \\ & \quad \left. + (d\tilde{u} d\tilde{u}_x - d\tilde{u}_x d\tilde{u}) \left(-\frac{i}{2} \right) (C_1 - B_{2x} + C_{3xx}) + (d\tilde{u}_x d\tilde{u}_{xx} - d\tilde{u}_{xx} d\tilde{u}_x) \left(\frac{i}{2} C_3 \right) \right\} dx \\ & \quad + E \int_Q \tilde{\theta}^2 |f|^2 dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where $\{\cdot\}_x, \{\cdot\}_{xx}, \{\cdot\}_{xxx}, \{\cdot\}_{xxxx}$ and $\tilde{M}$ are the same as in Theorem 3.1 with $u = \tilde{u}$.

The same argument in Proof of Theorem 1.1 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{u}|^2 \{\cdot\} &= 16\lambda^7 \mu^8 \tilde{\varphi}^7 \tilde{\psi}_x^8 |\tilde{u}|^2 + \tilde{R}_0 |\tilde{u}|^2, \\ |\tilde{u}_x|^2 \{\cdot\} &= 80\lambda^5 \mu^6 \tilde{\varphi}^5 \tilde{\psi}_x^6 |\tilde{u}_x|^2 + \tilde{R}_1 |\tilde{u}_x|^2, \\ |\tilde{u}_{xx}|^2 \{\cdot\} &= 16\lambda^3 \mu^4 \tilde{\varphi}^3 \tilde{\psi}_x^4 |\tilde{u}_{xx}|^2 + \tilde{R}_2 |\tilde{u}_{xx}|^2, \\ |\tilde{u}_{xxx}|^2 \{\cdot\} &= 16\lambda \mu^2 \tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\psi}_x^2 |\tilde{u}_{xxx}|^2 + \tilde{R}_3 |\tilde{u}_{xxx}|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{R}_0| &\leq C\lambda^7 \mu^7 \tilde{\varphi}^7, \\ |\tilde{R}_1| &\leq C\lambda^5 \mu^5 \tilde{\varphi}^5, \\ |\tilde{R}_2| &\leq C\lambda^3 \mu^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3, \\ |\tilde{R}_3| &\leq C\lambda \mu \tilde{\varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_Q (\{\cdot\}_x + \{\cdot\}_{xx} + \{\cdot\}_{xxx} + \{\cdot\}_{xxxx}) dx dt \\ &= E \int_Q \{ |\tilde{u}_{xx}|^2 (-20\lambda^3 \mu^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3 \tilde{\psi}_x^3 + \tilde{r}_1) + |\tilde{u}_{xxx}|^2 (-4\lambda \mu \tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\psi}_x) \\ & \quad + \tilde{u}_{xxx} \tilde{u}_{xx} (-6\lambda \mu^2 \tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\psi}_x^2 + \tilde{r}_2) + \tilde{u}_{xx} \tilde{u}_{xxx} (-6\lambda \mu^2 \tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\psi}_x^2 + \tilde{r}_2) \}_x dx dt \\ &\triangleq \tilde{V}(1) - \tilde{V}(0), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{r}_1| &\leq C\lambda^2 \mu^3 \tilde{\varphi}^2, \\ |\tilde{r}_2| &\leq C\lambda \mu \tilde{\varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

By the same method in Proof of Theorem 1.1, we can know

$$\tilde{V}(1) \geq 0. \quad (5.3)$$

It is a straightforward calculation to show that

$$E \int_0^T d\tilde{M} = 0, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & |\tilde{u}\tilde{u}_x [(-\frac{i}{2})(C_{1t} - B_{2xt} + C_{3xxt}) + C_1 D_0] + \tilde{u}_x \tilde{u} [-\frac{i}{2}(C_{1t} - B_{2xt} + C_{3xxt}) + C_1 \overline{D_0}] \\ & + (\tilde{u}_x \tilde{u}_{xx} - \tilde{u}_{xx} \tilde{u}_x)(\frac{i}{2}C_{3t}) + B_2 D_0 \tilde{u} \tilde{u}_{xx} + B_2 \overline{D_0} \tilde{u}_{xx} \tilde{u} + C_3 D_0 \tilde{u} \tilde{u}_{xxx} + C_3 \overline{D_0} \tilde{u}_{xxx} \tilde{u}| \\ & \leq C(\lambda^7 \mu^7 \tilde{\varphi}^7 |\tilde{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \mu^5 \tilde{\varphi}^5 |\tilde{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \mu^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3 |\tilde{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \mu \tilde{\varphi} |\tilde{u}_{xxx}|^2) \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| E \int_Q [(d\tilde{u}d\tilde{u}_x - d\tilde{u}_x d\tilde{u})(-\frac{i}{2})(C_1 - B_{2x} + C_{3xx}) + (d\tilde{u}_x d\tilde{u}_{xx} - d\tilde{u}_{xx} d\tilde{u}_x)(\frac{i}{2}C_3)] dx \right| \\ & \leq CE \int_Q (\lambda^3 \mu^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3 \tilde{\theta}^2 |g||g_x| + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \tilde{\varphi}^2 \tilde{\theta}^2 |g||g_{xx}| + \lambda \mu \tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\theta}^2 |g_x||g_{xx}|) dx dt \\ & \leq CE \int_Q (\lambda^4 \mu^4 \tilde{\varphi}^4 \tilde{\theta}^2 |g|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \tilde{\varphi}^2 \tilde{\theta}^2 |g_x|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |g_{xx}|^2) dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

From (5.1)-(5.6), we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_Q (\lambda^7 \mu^8 \tilde{\varphi}^7 \tilde{\psi}_x^8 |\tilde{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \mu^6 \tilde{\varphi}^5 \tilde{\psi}_x^6 |\tilde{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \mu^4 \tilde{\varphi}^3 \tilde{\psi}_x^4 |\tilde{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \mu^2 \tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\psi}_x^2 |\tilde{u}_{xxx}|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq \tilde{V}(0) + CE \int_Q (\lambda^4 \mu^4 \tilde{\varphi}^4 \tilde{\theta}^2 |g|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \tilde{\varphi}^2 \tilde{\theta}^2 |g_x|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |g_{xx}|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |f|^2 \\ & \quad + \lambda^7 \mu^7 \tilde{\varphi}^7 |\tilde{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \mu^5 \tilde{\varphi}^5 |\tilde{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \mu^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3 |\tilde{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \mu \tilde{\varphi} |\tilde{u}_{xxx}|^2) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $|\tilde{\psi}_x| > 0$ in $\overline{I}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_Q (\lambda^7 \mu^8 \tilde{\varphi}^7 |\tilde{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \mu^6 \tilde{\varphi}^5 |\tilde{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \mu^4 \tilde{\varphi}^3 |\tilde{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \mu^2 \tilde{\varphi} |\tilde{u}_{xxx}|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq C(\tilde{\psi}) \left[\tilde{V}(0) + E \int_Q (\lambda^4 \mu^4 \tilde{\varphi}^4 \tilde{\theta}^2 |g|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \tilde{\varphi}^2 \tilde{\theta}^2 |g_x|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |g_{xx}|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |f|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \lambda^7 \mu^7 \tilde{\varphi}^7 |\tilde{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \mu^5 \tilde{\varphi}^5 |\tilde{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \mu^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3 |\tilde{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \mu \tilde{\varphi} |\tilde{u}_{xxx}|^2) dx dt \right], \end{aligned}$$

from which if we choose $\mu_0 = C(\tilde{\psi}) + 1$, then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_Q (\lambda^7 \mu^7 \tilde{\varphi}^7 |\tilde{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \mu^5 \tilde{\varphi}^5 |\tilde{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \mu^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3 |\tilde{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \mu \tilde{\varphi} |\tilde{u}_{xxx}|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq C_1(\tilde{\psi}) \left[\tilde{V}(0) + E \int_Q (\lambda^4 \mu^4 \tilde{\varphi}^4 \tilde{\theta}^2 |g|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \tilde{\varphi}^2 \tilde{\theta}^2 |g_x|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |g_{xx}|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |f|^2) dx dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_Q (\lambda^7 \mu^7 \tilde{\varphi}^7 |\tilde{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \mu^5 \tilde{\varphi}^5 |\tilde{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \mu^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3 |\tilde{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \mu \tilde{\varphi} |\tilde{u}_{xxx}|^2) dx dt \\ & \leq C \left[E \int_0^T (\lambda^3 \mu^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3(0, t) |\tilde{u}_{xx}(0, t)|^2 + \lambda \mu \tilde{\varphi}(0, t) |\tilde{u}_{xxx}(0, t)|^2) dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + E \int_Q (\lambda^4 \mu^4 \tilde{\varphi}^4 \tilde{\theta}^2 |g|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \tilde{\varphi}^2 \tilde{\theta}^2 |g_x|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |g_{xx}|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |f|^2) dx dt \right], \end{aligned}$$

from which it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_Q (\lambda^7 \tilde{\varphi}^7 |\tilde{u}|^2 + \lambda^5 \tilde{\varphi}^5 |\tilde{u}_x|^2 + \lambda^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3 |\tilde{u}_{xx}|^2 + \lambda \tilde{\varphi} |\tilde{u}_{xxx}|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C(\mu) \left[E \int_0^T (\lambda^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3(0, t) |\tilde{u}_{xx}(0, t)|^2 + \lambda \tilde{\varphi}(0, t) |\tilde{u}_{xxx}(0, t)|^2) dt \right. \\
& \quad \left. + E \int_Q (\lambda^4 \tilde{\varphi}^4 \tilde{\theta}^2 |g|^2 + \lambda^2 \tilde{\varphi}^2 \tilde{\theta}^2 |g_x|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |g_{xx}|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |f|^2) dx dt \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Returning $\tilde{u}$ to $\tilde{\theta}y$, we can obtain (1.6).

5.2 Proof of Corollary 1.3

The proof of (1.7) is similar to (1.4). For completeness we give a sketch of it.

According to (1.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_Q (\lambda \tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\theta}^2 y_{xxx}^2 + \lambda^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3 \tilde{\theta}^2 y_{xx}^2 + \lambda^5 \tilde{\varphi}^5 \tilde{\theta}^2 y_x^2 + \lambda^7 \tilde{\varphi}^7 \tilde{\theta}^2 y^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C \left[E \int_0^T (\lambda^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3(0, t) \tilde{\theta}^2(0, t) y_{xx}^2(0, t) + \lambda \tilde{\varphi}(0, t) \tilde{\theta}^2(0, t) y_{xxx}^2(0, t)) dt \right. \\
& \quad \left. + E \int_Q (\lambda^4 \tilde{\varphi}^4 \tilde{\theta}^2 |by + g|^2 + \lambda^2 \tilde{\varphi}^2 \tilde{\theta}^2 |(by + g)_x|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |(by + g)_{xx}|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |ay + f|^2) dx dt \right].
\end{aligned}$$

If we take $\lambda \geq C(a, b, T)$, where $C(a, b, T)$ is large enough, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_Q (\lambda \tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\theta}^2 y_{xxx}^2 + \lambda^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3 \tilde{\theta}^2 y_{xx}^2 + \lambda^5 \tilde{\varphi}^5 \tilde{\theta}^2 y_x^2 + \lambda^7 \tilde{\varphi}^7 \tilde{\theta}^2 y^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C \left[E \int_0^T (\lambda^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3(0, t) \tilde{\theta}^2(0, t) y_{xx}^2(0, t) + \lambda \tilde{\varphi}(0, t) \tilde{\theta}^2(0, t) y_{xxx}^2(0, t)) dt \right. \\
& \quad \left. + E \int_Q (\lambda^4 \tilde{\varphi}^4 \tilde{\theta}^2 |g|^2 + \lambda^2 \tilde{\varphi}^2 \tilde{\theta}^2 |g_x|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |g_{xx}|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |f|^2) dx dt \right].
\end{aligned}$$

By means of the definitions of $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\theta}$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_Q (\lambda \tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\theta}^2 y_{xxx}^2 + \lambda^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3 \tilde{\theta}^2 y_{xx}^2 + \lambda^5 \tilde{\varphi}^5 \tilde{\theta}^2 y_x^2 + \lambda^7 \tilde{\varphi}^7 \tilde{\theta}^2 y^2) dx dt \\
& \geq C \min_{x \in \bar{I}} (\tilde{\varphi}(x, \frac{T}{2}) \tilde{\theta}^2(x, \frac{T}{4})) E \int_{\frac{T}{4}}^{\frac{3T}{4}} \int_I (y_{xxx}^2 + y_{xx}^2 + y_x^2 + y^2) dx dt, \\
& E \int_0^T (\lambda \tilde{\varphi}(0, t) \tilde{\theta}^2(0, t) y_{xxx}^2(0, t) + \lambda^3 \tilde{\varphi}^3(0, t) \tilde{\theta}^2(0, t) y_{xx}^2(0, t)) dt \\
& \leq C \max_{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}} (\tilde{\varphi}^3(x, t) \tilde{\theta}^2(x, t)) E \int_0^T (y_{xxx}^2(0, t) + y_{xx}^2(0, t)) dt,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[\int_Q (\lambda^4 \tilde{\varphi}^4 \tilde{\theta}^2 |g|^2 + \lambda^2 \tilde{\varphi}^2 \tilde{\theta}^2 |g_x|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |g_{xx}|^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2 |f|^2) dx dt \right] \\
& \leq C \max_{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}} (\tilde{\varphi}^4(x, t) \tilde{\theta}^2(x, t)) E \int_Q (|g|^2 + |g_x|^2 + |g_{xx}|^2 + |f|^2) dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of the above equalities and (1.6), there holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_{\frac{T}{4}}^{\frac{3T}{4}} \int_I (y_{xxx}^2 + y_{xx}^2 + y_x^2 + y^2) dx dt \\
& \leq C \frac{\max_{(x,t) \in \bar{Q}} (\tilde{\varphi}^4(x,t) \tilde{\theta}^2(x,t))}{\min_{x \in \bar{I}} (\tilde{\varphi}(x, \frac{T}{2}) \tilde{\theta}^2(x, \frac{T}{4}))} \left[E \int_0^T (y_{xxx}^2(0,t) + y_{xx}^2(0,t)) dt + E \int_Q (|g|^2 + |g_x|^2 + |g_{xx}|^2 + |f|^2) \right]. \quad (5.7)
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.8) with $s = 3$ and (5.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{T}{2} E \|y_0\|_{X_3}^2 \\
& \leq CE \int_{\frac{T}{4}}^{\frac{3T}{4}} \|y(t)\|_{X_3}^2 dt + CE \int_0^T (\|f(t)\|_{X_3}^2 + \|g(t)\|_{X_3}^2) dt \\
& \leq C \left[E \int_0^T (y_{xx}^2(0,t) + y_{xxx}^2(0,t)) dt + E \int_0^T (\|f(t)\|_{X_3}^2 + \|g(t)\|_{X_3}^2) dt \right],
\end{aligned}$$

namely, it holds that

$$\|y_0\|_{X_3}^2 \leq C \left[E \int_0^T (y_{xx}^2(0,t) + y_{xxx}^2(0,t)) dt + E \int_0^T (\|f(t)\|_{X_3}^2 + \|g(t)\|_{X_3}^2) dt \right],$$

this implies (1.7).

5.3 Proof of Corollary 1.4

Taking $f = g = 0$ in (1.7) and considering $y_{xx}(0,t) = y_{xxx}(0,t) \equiv 0$ in $(0,T)$, P -a.s., we have

$$\|y_0\|_{X_3} \leq 0,$$

thus, $y_0 \equiv 0$ in I P -a.s., this implies $y \equiv 0$ in Q P -a.s.

6 Proof of Theorem 1.4

The main idea in this part comes from [17].

Since system (1.9) is linear, we only need to show that the attainable set at time $T > 0$ with initial datum $y_0 = 0$ is $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; X'_3)$, that is, for any $y_1 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; X'_3)$, we can find controls

$$(u_1, u_2, g) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T)) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T)) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X'_3)$$

such that the solution to the system (1.9) with $y_0 = 0$ satisfies that $y(T) = y_1$. We achieve this goal by duality argument.

Let us set

$$\mathcal{W} = \{(z_{xx}(0,t), z_{xxx}(0,t), Z) \mid (z, Z) \text{ solves (1.8) with some } z_T \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; X_3)\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{W}$ is a linear subspace of $L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T)) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T)) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X'_3)$. Let us define a linear functional $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{W}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}((z_{xx}(0,t), z_{xxx}(0,t), Z)) = E(y_1, \overline{z_T})_{X'_3, X_3} - E \int_0^T (f, \overline{z})_{X'_3, X_3} dt.$$

From (1.11) and Proposition 2.2 iii) with $s = 3, h = 0$, we see that $\mathcal{L}$ is a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{W}$. By means of the Hahn-Banach Theorem, $\mathcal{L}$ can be extended to be a bounded linear functional on

the space $L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T)) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T)) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X'_3)$. For simplicity, we still use $\mathcal{L}$ to denote this extension. Now, by the Riesz Representation Theorem, we know that there is a random fields

$$(u_1, u_2, g) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T)) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega, L^2(0, T)) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; X'_3)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} E(y_1, \bar{z}_T)_{X'_3, X_3} + E \int_0^T i(f, \bar{z})_{X'_3, X_3} dt \\ = E \int_0^T i(u_1(t) \bar{z}_{xxx}(0, t) + u_2(t) \bar{z}_{xx}(0, t)) dt + E \int_0^T (g, \bar{Z})_{X'_3, X_3} dt. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that this random fields (u_1, u_2, g) is the control we need.

In fact, from the definition of the solution to (1.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(y(T), \bar{z}_T)_{X'_3, X_3} \\ = E \int_0^T i(u_1(t) \bar{z}_{xxx}(0, t) + u_2(t) \bar{z}_{xx}(0, t)) dt \\ + E \int_0^T [-i(f, \bar{z})_{X'_3, X_3} + (g, \bar{Z})_{X'_3, X_3}] dt, \end{aligned}$$

thus, we have

$$E(y_1, \bar{z}_T)_{X'_3, X_3} = E(y(T), \bar{z}_T)_{X'_3, X_3}. \quad (6.1)$$

Since z_T can be arbitrary element in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; X_3)$, from the equality (6.1), we get $y(T) = y_1$.

Acknowledgements.

I sincerely thank Professor Yong Li for many useful suggestions and help.

References

- [1] Barbu, V., Răscanu, A., Tessitore, G. (2003). Carleman estimate and controllability of linear stochastic heat equations. *Appl. Math. Optim.* 47: pp.97-120.
- [2] Baudouin, L., Puel, J.P. (2002). Uniqueness and stability in an inverse problem for the Schrödinger equation. *Inverse problems* 18(6): 1537.
- [3] Bergh, J., Löfström, J. (1976). *Interpolation spaces. An introduction*.
- [4] Bona, J.L., Sun, S.M., Zhang, B.Y. (2003). A nonhomogeneous boundary-value problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation posed on a finite domain. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations* 28: 1391-1436.
- [5] Carleman, T. (1939). Sur un problème d'unicité pour les systèmes d'équations aux dérivées partielles à deux variables indépendentes. *Ark. Mat. Astr.Fys.* 2B: 1-9.
- [6] Flandoli, F. (1995). *Regularity Theory and Stochastic Flows for Parabolic SPDEs*. CRC Press.
- [7] Gao, P. (2014). Carleman estimate and unique continuation property for the linear stochastic Korteweg-de Vries equation. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society* 90: 283-294.
- [8] Gao, P., Chen, M., Li, Y. (2015). Observability estimates and null controllability for forward and backward linear stochastic Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equations. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization* 53(1): 475-500.
- [9] Gao P. Global Carleman estimates for linear stochastic Kawahara equation and their applications[J]. *Mathematics of Control, Signals, and Systems*, 2016, 28(3): 1-22.
- [10] Grecksch, W., Lisei, H. (2011). Stochastic nonlinear equations of Schrödinger type. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications* 29(4): 631-653.
- [11] Hao, C., Hsiao, L., Wang, B. (2006). Wellposedness for the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications* 320(1): 246-265.
- [12] Hao, C., Hsiao, L., Wang, B. (2007). Well-posedness of Cauchy problem for the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equations in multi-dimensional spaces. *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications* 328(1): 58-83.
- [13] Karpman, V.I. (1996). Stabilization of soliton instabilities by higher-order dispersion: Fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger-type equations. *Phys. Rev. E* 53: 1336-1339.

- [14] Karpman, V.I., Shagalov, A.G. (2000). Stability of solitons described by nonlinear Schrödinger type equations with higher-order dispersion. *Phys. Rev. D* 144: 194-210.
- [15] Kim, J.U. (2004). Approximate Controllability of a Stochastic Wave Equation. *Applied Mathematic Optimization* 49(1): 81-98.
- [16] Lü, Q. (2014). Exact Controllability for Stochastic Transport Equations. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization* 52(1): 397-419.
- [17] Lü, Q. (2013). Exact controllability for stochastic Schrödinger equations. *Journal of Differential Equations* 255(8): 2484-2504.
- [18] Lü, Q. (2013). Observability estimate for stochastic Schrödinger equations and its applications. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization* 51: 121-144.
- [19] Lü, Q. (2012). Carleman estimate for stochastic parabolic equations and inverse stochastic parabolic problems. *Inverse Problems* 28 no. 4, 045008, 18 pp.
- [20] Lions, J.L., Magenes, E. (1972). *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications*, vol.I, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Band 181, Springer-Verlag, NewYork-Heidelberg, translated from the French by P.Kenneth.
- [21] Lions, J.L., Magenes, E. (1972). *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications*, vol.II, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Band 181, Springer-Verlag, NewYork-Heidelberg, translated from the French by P.Kenneth.
- [22] Pausader, B. (2007). Global well-posedness for energy critical fourth-order Schrödinger equations in the radial case. *Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ* 4(3): 197-225.
- [23] Pausader, B. (2009). The cubic fourth-order Schrödinger equation. *Journal of Functional Analysis* 256(8): 2473-2517.
- [24] Renardy, M., Rogers, R.C. (2004). *An Introduction to Partial Differential Equations*, 2nd edn, Texts in Applied Mathematics, Vol. 13, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [25] Rosier, L., Zhang, B.Y. (2006). Global stabilization of the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation posed on a finite domain. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization* 45(3): 927-956.
- [26] Tang S., Zhang X. (2009). Null controllability for forward and backward stochastic parabolic equations. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* 48: 2191-2216.
- [27] Wen, R., Chai, S., Guo, B.Z. (2014). Well-Posedness and Exact Controllability of Fourth Order Schrödinger Equation with Boundary Control and Collocated Observation. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization* 52(1): 365-396.
- [28] Zheng, C., Zhou, Z. (2012). Exact controllability for the fourth order Schrödinger equation. *Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Series B* 33(3):395-404.
- [29] Zhang, X. (2008). Carleman and observability estimates for stochastic wave equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 40: 851-868.
- [30] Zheng, C. (2015). Inverse problems for the fourth order Schrödinger equation on a finite domain. *Math. Control Relat. Fields* 5, no. 1, 177-189.